arXiv:1401.5867v2 [math.DG] 28 Jan 2014

WITTEN DEFORMATION AND MORSE CATEGORY

KAILEUNG CHAN, NAICHUNG CONAN LEUNG AND ZIMING NIKOLAS MA

1. Introduction

Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on an oriented compact Riemannian manifold M. Morse theory studies the homology of the manifold by the Morse complex, which is a finite dimensional vector space freely generated by critical points of f, equipped with the Morse differential δ defined by counting gradient flow lines of f. Witten suggested a differential geometric approach toward Morse theory in [9] by deforming the exterior differential operator d with

$$d_{f,\hbar} := e^{-f/\hbar} h d e^{f/\hbar} = \hbar d + df\wedge,$$

where $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We can obtain the formal adjoint of $d_{f,\hbar}$ defined by

$$d^*_{f,\hbar} := e^{f/\hbar} \hbar d^* e^{-f/\hbar} = \hbar d^* + \iota_{\nabla f}$$

and the Laplace operator defined by

$$\Delta_{f,\hbar} := d_{f,\hbar} d^*_{f,\hbar} + d^*_{f,\hbar} d_{f,\hbar}. \tag{1.1}$$

Witten suggested that if we consider eigenvalues of the operator $\Delta_{f,h}$ lying inside a small interval $[0, \hbar^{3/2})$, the corresponding eigensubspace $\Omega^*(M,\hbar)_{sm} \subset \Omega^*(M,\hbar)$ can be identified with the Morse complex MC^*_f, with the eigenform corresponding to a critical point concentrated at that critical points, when $\hbar$ small enough. Furthermore, the Witten differential has an asymptotic expansion $d_{f,\hbar} \sim (\delta + \mathcal{O}(\hbar))$ under the above identification. Readers may see [10] for a detail introduction. The complete proof can be found in [5, 6, 7].

A natural question to ask is whether the Witten's approach can be extended to study the wedge product structure on differential forms, which will be an enhancement from a statement concerning homology to one concerning rational homotopy type as these informations are captured in the differential graded algebra $(\Omega^*(M), d, \wedge)$. It is first conjectured by Fukaya in [3] that similar asymptotic expansions hold for higher product structures, which are combinations of $d^*_{f,\hbar}$, wedge product, twisted Green's operator and projection to small eigensubspace, in the Witten twisted deRham theory. The leading order terms in the asymptotic expansions are operators defined by counting gradient trees, which are A_∞ structure maps in the Morse category defined in [2].

To be more precise, we are forced to consider more than one Morse function in order to satisfy the Leibniz rule. This leads to the notation of the differential graded(dg) category $DR_\hbar(M)$, with objects being smooth functions on M. The corresponding morphism complex relating f_i to f_j is given by the twisted complex $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar) = (\Omega^*(M), d_{ij} := e^{-f_{ij}/\hbar}(\hbar d)e^{f_{ij}/\hbar})$, where $f_{ij} = f_j - f_i$. The Morse category $Morse(M)$ is an A_∞ pre-category with objects being functions on M. The morphism from f_i to f_j is the Morse complex CM^*_{ij} with respect to the function $f_j - f_i$. The A_∞ structure map $\{m_k^{Morse}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ are defined by counting gradient flow trees which are described in [1, 2].

Fixing two objects f_i and f_j, the subcomplex formed by the eigen-subspace $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$ corresponding to small eigenvalues laying in $[0,\hbar^{3/2})$ is isomorphic to the Morse complex MC^*_{ij} via the map

$$\phi_{ij}(\hbar) : MC^*_{ij} \to \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \tag{1.2}$$

defined in [7]. $\phi_{ij}(\hbar)(q)$ will be an eigenform concentrating at critical point q as $\hbar \to 0$. We first observe that $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$ is a homotopy retract of the full complex $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)$ under explicit homotopy involving Green's operator. We can pull back the wedge product in $DR_\hbar(M)$ via the homotopy, making use of homological perturbation lemma in [8], to give a deformed A_∞ category $DR_\hbar(M)_{sm}$ with A_∞ structure $\{m_k(\hbar)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Then we have the theorem.

Theorem 1 (Chan, Leung and Ma)**.** *For generic sequence of functions $\vec{f} = (f_0,\ldots,f_k)$, with corresponding sequence of critical points $\vec{q} = (q_{01}, q_{12},\ldots,q_{(k-1)k})$, we have*

$$m_k(\hbar)(\phi(\vec{q})) = e^{-\frac{A(\vec{q})}{\hbar}}(\phi(m_k^{Morse}(\vec{q})) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)), \tag{1.3}$$

where $A(\vec{q}) = f_{0k}(q_{0k}) - f_{01}(q_{01}) - \cdots - f_{(k-1)k}(q_{(k-1)k})$.

When $k = 1$, it is Witten's observation proven in [7], involving detail estimate of operator d_{ij} along flow lines. For $k \geq 3$, it involves the study for "inverse" of d_{ij}, which is the local behaviour of the inhomogeneous Witten Laplacian equation of the form

$$\Delta_{ij}\zeta_E = d^*_{ij}(I - P_{ij})(e^{-\frac{\psi_S}{\hbar}}\nu) \tag{1.4}$$

along a flow line γ of $f_j - f_i$, where d^*_{ij} is the adjoint to d_{ij} and P_{ij} is the projection to $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$.

This paper will consist of two parts. The first part consisting of the brief description of two categories involved and our main theorem in section 2 and the proof modulo technical analysis in section 3. The second part is a study of Witten twisted Green's operator in section 4 and 5 which is used in previous sections.

2. Setting

In this section, we introduce the definitions and notations we needed and state our main theorem. We begin with the definition of deRham category.

2.1. **deRham category.** Given a compact oriented Riemannian manifold M, we can construct the deRham category $DR_\hbar(M)$ depending on a small real parameter $\hbar$. Objects of the category are smooth functions

$$f : M \to \mathbb{R}.$$

For any two objects f_i and f_j, we define the morphism between them to be

$$\mathrm{Hom}^*_{DR_\hbar(M)}(f_i, f_j) = \Omega^*(M),$$

with differential $\hbar d + df_{ij}$, where $f_{ij} := f_j - f_i$. The composition of morphisms is defined to be the wedge product of differential forms on M. This composition is associative and hence the resulted category is a dg category. We denote the complex corresponding to $\mathrm{Hom}^*_{DR_\hbar(M)}(f_i, f_j)$ by $\Omega^*_{ij}(M, \hbar)$ and the differential $\hbar d + df_{ij}$ by d_{ij}. We then consider the Morse category which is closely related to the deRham category.

2.2. **Morse category.** The Morse category $Morse(M)$ has the same class of objects as the deRham category $DR_\hbar(M)$, with the morphism between two objects given by

$$Hom^*_{Morse(M)}(f_i, f_j) = CM^*(f_{ij}) = \sum_{q \in Crit(f_{ij})} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_q.$$

It is the Morse complex which is defined when f_{ij} is Morse. In this complex, e_q's are declared to be orthonormal basis and graded by the Morse index of corresponding critical point q, which is the dimension of unstable submanifold V_q^-. The Morse category $Morse(M)$ is an A_∞-category equipped with higher products m_k^{Morse} for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, or simply denoted by m_k, which are given by counting gradient flow trees. To describe that, we first need some terminologies about directed trees.

2.2.1. *Directed trees.*

Definition 2. *A trivalent directed d-leafed tree T means an embedded tree in $\mathbb{R}^2$, together with the following data:*

1. *a finite set of vertices $V(T)$;*
2. *a set of internal edges $E(T)$;*
3. *a set of d semi-infinite incoming edges $E_{in}(T)$;*
4. *a semi-infinite outgoing edge e_{out}.*

Every vertex is required to be trivalent, having two incoming edges and one outgoing edge.

For simplicity, we will call it a d-tree. They are identified up to continuous map preserving the vertices and edges. Therefore, the topological class for d-trees will be finite.

Given a d-tree, by fixing the anticlockwise orientation of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have an cyclic ordering of all the semi-infinite edges. We can label the incoming edges by consecutive integers $(d-1)d, \ldots, 01$ and the outgoing edges by $0d$ such that the cyclic ordering $01, \ldots, (d-1)d, 0d$ agrees with the induced cyclic ordering of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Furthermore, we can extend this labeling to all the internal edges, by induction along the directed tree. If we have an vertex v with two incoming edges labelled ij and jk, then we assign labeling ik to the outgoing edge. For example, there are two different topological types for 3-tree, with corresponding labeling for their edges as shown in the following figure.



FIGURE 1. two different type of 3-tree

A pair (e, v), with e being an edge (either finite or semi-infinite) and v being an adjacent vertex, is called a flag. The unique vertex attached to the outgoing semi-infinite edge is called the root vertex. The following figure shows different flags on the tree T_1.



FIGURE 2. An example of a 3-tree and the corresponding flags.

For the purpose of Morse homology, we need the following notation of metric trees.

Definition 3. *A metric d-tree $\tilde{T}$ is a d-tree together with a length function $l : E(T) \to (0, +\infty)$.*

Metric d-trees are identified up to length preserving continuous map. The space of metric d-trees has finite number of components, with each component corresponding to a topological type T. The component corresponding to T, denoted by $\mathcal{S}(T)$, is a copy of $(0, +\infty)^{|E(T)|}$, where $|E(T)|$ is the number of internal edges and equals to $d-2$. The space $\mathcal{S}(T)$ can be partially compactified to manifold with corners $(0, +\infty]^{|E(T)|}$, by allowing the length of internal edges going to infinity. In particular, it has codimension-1 boundary

$$\partial\overline{\mathcal{S}(T)} = \coprod_{T=T'\sqcup T''} \mathcal{S}(T') \times \mathcal{S}(T''),$$

where $\sqcup$ means joining the outgoing edge of T' with one of the incoming edges of T'' to give an internal edge of infinite length.

2.2.2. *Morse A_∞ structure.* We are going to describe the product m_k of the Morse category. First of all, one may notice that the morphism between two objects f_i and f_j is only defined when f_{ij} is Morse. Therefore, when we consider a sequence of functions $f_0, \ldots, f_k$, we said the sequence is Morse if f_{ij} are Morse for all $i \neq j$. Given a Morse sequence $\vec{f} = (f_0, \ldots, f_k)$, with a sequence of points $\vec{q} = (q_{01}, \ldots q_{(k-1)k}, q_{0k})$ such that q_{ij} is a critical point of f_{ij}, we have the following definition of gradient flow tree.

Definition 4. *A gradient flow tree Γ of $\vec{f}$ with endpoints at $\vec{q}$ is a continuous map $\mathbf{f} : \tilde{T} \to M$ such that it is a upward gradient flow line of f_{ij} when restricted to the edge ij, the semi-infinite incoming edge $i(i+1)$ begins at the critical point $q_{i(i+1)}$ and the semi-infinite outgoing edge $0k$ ends at the critical point q_{0k}.*

We use $\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q})$ to denote the moduli space of gradient trees (in the case $k = 1$, the moduli of gradient flow line of a single Morse function has an extra $\mathbb{R}$ symmetry. We will use this notation for the reduced moduli, that is the one after taking quotient by $\mathbb{R}$). It has a decomposition according to topological types

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q}) = \coprod_T \mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q})(T).$$

This space can be endowed with smooth manifold structure if we put generic assumption on the Morse sequence. For an incoming critical point $q_{i(i+1)}$, with corresponding stable submanifold $V^+_{q_{i(i+1)}}$, we define a map

$$\mathbf{f}_{T,i(i+1)} : V^+_{q_{i(i+1)}} \times \mathcal{S}(T) \to M.$$

Fixing a point x in $V^+_{q_{i(i+1)}}$ together with a metric tree $\tilde{T}$, we need to determine a point in M. First, suppose v is the vertex connected to the edge labelled $i(i+1)$, there is a unique path following the directed graph joining

v to the root vertex v_r. To determine the image of our function, we flow the point x by gradient flow with respect to Morse function according to labeling of edges in the path, with time determined by the length of the edge.

The maps are then put together to give a map

$$\mathbf{f}_T : V^-_{q_{0k}} \times V^+_{q_{(k-1)k}} \times \cdots \times V^+_{q_{01}} \times \mathcal{S}(T) \to M^{k+1}, \tag{2.1}$$

where we use the embedding $\iota : V^-_{q_{0k}} \to M$ for the first component. There is a generic assumption on $\vec{f}$.

Definition 5. *A Morse sequence $\vec{f}$ is said to be generic if the image of $\mathbf{f}_T$ intersect transversally with the diagonal submanifold $\Delta \subset M^{k+1}$, for any sequence of critical point $\vec{q}$ and any topological type T.*

When the sequence is generic, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q})$ is of dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q})) = \deg(q_{0k}) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \deg(q_{i(i+1)}) + k - 2.$$

Therefore, we can define m_k using the signed count of points in $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q}))$ when it is of dimension 0. In order to have a signed count, we have to get an orientation of the space $\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q})$. We will come to that later in section **??** where we focus on categorial version of our result.

Now, we can give the definition of the higher products in the Morse category.

Definition 6. *Given a generic Morse sequence $\vec{f}$ with sequence of critical points $\vec{q}$, we define*

$$\langle m_k(q_{(k-1)k}, \ldots, q_{01}), q_{0k} \rangle = \#\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q}), \tag{2.2}$$

when

$$\deg(q_{0k}) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \deg(q_{i(i+1)}) + k - 2 = 0.$$

Otherwise, the product is defined to be zero.

One may notice m_k can only be defined when $\vec{f}$ is a Morse sequence satisfying the generic assumption in definition 5. The Morse category is indeed a A_∞ pre-category instead of an honest category. We will not go into detail about the algebric problem on getting an honest category from this structures. For details about this, readers may see [1, 2].

2.3. **From deRham to Morse.** To relate $DR_\hbar(M)$ and $Morse(M)$, we need to apply homological perturbation to $DR_\hbar(M)$. Fixing two functions f_i and f_j, we consider the Witten Laplacian

$$\Delta_{ij} = d_{ij}d^*_{ij} + d^*_{ij}d_{ij},$$

where $d_{ij}^* = \hbar d^* + \iota_{\nabla f_{ij}}$. We take the interval $I(\hbar) = [0, \hbar^{3/2})$ and denote the eigenspace with eigenvalues contained in $I(\hbar)$ by $\Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$.

By the result of [7], we can have a map

$$\phi_{ij}(\eta,\hbar) : CM^*(f_{ij}) \to \Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$$

depending on η, $\hbar$ such that it is an isomorphism when η, $\hbar$ are small enough. Here η, which can be arbitrary small, is the radius of some cut off functions will be introduced in section 3. We will abuse the notation ϕ_{ij} to stand for an eigenform in $\Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$.

Furthermore, under the identification $\phi_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)$, we have the identification of differential d_{ij} and Morse differential m_1 as

$$\langle d_{ij}\phi_{ij}(p), \phi_{ij}(q)\rangle = e^{-\frac{f_{ij}(q)-f_{ij}(p)}{\hbar}} \langle m_1(p), q\rangle (1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)) \tag{2.3}$$

for $\hbar$ small enough, if p, q are critical points of f_{ij}. This is originally proposed by Witten to understand Morse theory using twisted deRham complex.

It is natural to ask whether the product structures of two categories are related via this identification, and the answer is definite. The first observation is that the Witten's approach indeed produces an A_∞ category, denoted by $DR_\hbar(M)_{sm}$, with A_∞ structure $\{m_k(\hbar)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. It has the same class of objects as $DR_\hbar(M)$. However, the morphism between two objects f_i, f_j is taken to be $\Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$, with $m_1(\hbar)$ is defined to be the restriction of d_{ij} onto the eigenspace $\Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$.

The natural way to define $m_2(\hbar)$ whenever given three objects f_0, f_1 and f_2 is the operation given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{12}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm} \otimes \Omega_{01}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm} & \xrightarrow{(\iota_{12},\iota_{01})} & \Omega_{12}^*(M,\hbar) \otimes \Omega_{01}^*(M,\hbar) \\ & & \downarrow \wedge \\ & & \Omega_{02}^*(M,\hbar) \\ & & \downarrow P_{02} \\ & & \Omega_{02}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}, \end{array}$$

where ι_{12} and ι_{01} are inclusion maps and $P_{ik} : \Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar) \to \Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$ is the orthogonal projection to the eigenspace.

Notice that $m_2(\hbar)$ defined in this way is not associative, and we need a $m_3(\hbar)$ to record the non-associativity. To do this, let us consider the Green's operator G_{ij}^0 corresponding to Witten Laplacian Δ_{ij}. We let

$$G_{ij} = (I - P_{ij})G_{ij}^0 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$H_{ij} = d_{ij}^* G_{ij}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then H_{ij} is a linear operator from $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)$ to $\Omega^{*-1}_{ij}(M,\hbar)$ and we have

$$d_{ij}H_{ij} + H_{ij}d_{ij} = I - P_{ij}.$$

Indeed, $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$ can be realised as a homotopy retract of $\Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)$. Suppose f_0, f_1, f_2 and f_3 are smooth functions on M and let $\phi_{ij} \in \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$, the higher product

$$m_3(\hbar) : \Omega^*_{23}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \otimes \Omega^*_{12}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \otimes \Omega^*_{01}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \to \Omega^*_{03}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6)\quad & m_3(\hbar)(\phi_{23},\phi_{12},\phi_{01}) = \\ & P_{03}(H_{13}(\phi_{23}\wedge\phi_{12})\wedge\phi_{01}) + P_{03}(\phi_{23}\wedge H_{02}(\phi_{12}\wedge\phi_{01})). \end{aligned}$$

In general, construction of $m_k(\hbar)$ can be described using k-tree. For $k \geq 2$, we decompose $m_k(\hbar) := \sum_T m_k^T(\hbar)$, where T runs over all topological type of k-trees.

$$m_k^T(\hbar) : \Omega^*_{(k-1)k}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega^*_{01}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \to \Omega^*_{0k}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$$

is an operation defined inductively along the directed tree T by

(1) applying inclusion map $\iota_{i(i+1)} : \Omega^*_{i(i+1)}(M,\hbar)_{sm} \to \Omega^*_{i(i+1)}(M,\hbar)$ at semi-infinite incoming edges;
(2) applying wedge product $\wedge$ to each interior vertex;
(3) applying homotopy operator H_{ij} to each internal edge labelled ij;
(4) and applying projection P_{0k} to the outgoing semi-infinite edge.

The following graph shows the operation associated to the unique 2-tree.



FIGURE 3. The unique 2-tree and the corresponding assignment of operators for defining $m_2(\hbar)$.

The higher products $\{m_k(\hbar)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfies the generalized associativity relation which is so called the A_∞ relation. One may treat the A_∞ product as a pullback of the wedge product under the homotopy retract $P_{ij} : \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar) \to \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$. This proceed is called the homological perturbation lemma. For details about this construction, readers may see [8]. As a result, we obtain an A_∞ pre-category $DR_\hbar(M)_{sm}$.

Finally, we give the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 7. *Given $f_0, \dots, f_k$ satisfying generic assumption 5, with $q_{ij} \in CM^*(f_{ij})$ be corresponding critical points, there exist η_0, $\hbar_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$, such that $\phi_{ij}(\eta, \hbar) : CM^*(f_{ij}) \to \Omega^*_{ij}(M, \hbar)_{sm}$ are isomorphism for all $i \neq j$ when $\eta < \eta_0$ and $\hbar < \hbar_0$. If we write $\phi(q_{ij}) = \phi_{ij}(\eta, \hbar)(q_{ij})$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & \langle m_k(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}), \dots, \phi(q_{01})), \frac{\phi(q_{0k})}{\|\phi(q_{0k})\|^2} \rangle \\ & = \pm \hbar^{2-k} e^{-A/\hbar} (\langle m_k(q_{(k-1)k}, \dots, q_{01}), q_{0k} \rangle + C_0 \hbar), \end{aligned}$$

where $A = f_{0k}(q_{0k}) - f_{01}(q_{01}) - \cdots - f_{(k-1)k}(q_{(k-1)k})$.

Remark 8. *The constants η_0, C_0 and $\hbar_0$ depend on the functions $f_0, \dots, f_k$. In general, we cannot choose fixed constants that the above statement holds true for all $m_k(\hbar)$ and all sequences of functions.*

Remark 9. *The constant A has a geometric meaning. If we consider the cotangent bundle T^*M of a manifold M which equips the canonical symplectic form ω_{can}, and take $L_i = \Gamma_{df_i}$ to be the Lagrangian sections. Then $q_{ij} \in L_i \pitchfork L_j$ and A would be the symplectic area of a degenerated holomorphic disk passing through the intersection points q_{ij} and having boundary lying on L_i. For details, one may consult* [8]

3. Proof of Main theorem

In the proof, we fix a generic sequence $\vec{f}$ of $k+1$ functions, with corresponding sequence of critical points $\vec{q}$. First of all, we have

$$\deg(m_k(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}), \dots, \phi(q_{01})) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \deg(q_{i(i+1)}) - k + 2,$$

so $\langle m_k(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}), \dots, \phi(q_{01}), \phi(q_{0k}) \rangle$ is non-trivial only when the equality

$$(3.1) \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \deg(q_{i(i+1)}) - k + 2 = \deg(q_{0k})$$

holds, which is exactly the condition for m_k in the Morse category to be non-trivial. We will therefore assume condition (3.1) and consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle m_k(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}), \dots, \phi(q_{01})), \frac{\phi(q_{0k})}{\|\phi(q_{0k})\|^2} \rangle \\ = & \int_M m_k(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}), \dots, \phi(q_{01})) \wedge * \frac{\phi(q_{0k})}{\|\phi(q_{0k})\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that each directed tree T gives an operation $m_k^T(\hbar)$ and $m_k(\hbar) = \sum_T m_k^T(\hbar)$ which is also the case in Morse category. Therefore, we just have to consider each $m_k^T(\hbar)$ separately.

The first step use the a priori estimate and resolvent estimate to show that there is a expected exponential decay $e^{-A/\hbar}$ as described in the theorem. We can therefore drop out terms with faster exponential decay. It turns out that the integral localizes to gradient flow trees of corresponding Morse functions. The second step is to replace input eigenforms and the homotopy operators H_{ij} by their WKB approximations. The WKB approximations are governed by ODEs which make computation possible. The final step is the carry out the explicit computation for the leading order term.

3.1. **Results for a single Morse function.** We start with stating the results about Witten deformation for a single Morse function. These results essentially come from [7], with a little modifications to fit our content.

For a Morse function f_{ij}, we can define the Agmon distance ρ_{ij}, or simply ρ, which is the distance function with respect to the degenerated Riemannian metric $|df_{ij}|^2 g_0$, where g_0 is the background metric. Readers may see [4] for its basic properties. We denote the set of critical points by C_{ij}^* for each $q \in C_{ij}^l$ and let

$$M_{q,\eta} = M \setminus \bigcup_{p \neq q, p \in C_{ij}^l} B(p, \eta),$$

where $B(p,\eta)$ is the open ball centered at p with radius η with respect to the Agmon metric. $M_{q,\eta}$ is a manifold with boundary.

We use $\Omega_{ij}^*(M_{q,\eta}, \hbar)_0$ to denote the space of differential forms which vanish on boundary, with Witten Lacplacian $\Delta_{ij,0}$ acting on it. For a critical point $q \in C^l$, we have the following spectral gap lemma, saying that eigenvalues in the interval $I(\hbar)$ are well separated from the rest of the spectrum.

Lemma 10. *For any ϵ, $\eta > 0$ small enough, there is $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon, \eta) > 0$ and $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that when $\hbar < \hbar_0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\Delta_{ij,0}) \cap [\hbar^{3/2}, \hbar^{3/2} + C_\epsilon e^{-\epsilon/\hbar}) = \emptyset,$$

and also

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\Delta_{ij}) \cap [\hbar^{3/2}, \hbar^{3/2} + C_\epsilon e^{-\epsilon/\hbar}) = \emptyset.$$

The eigenforms with corresponding eigenvalue in $I(\hbar)$ are what we concentrated on, and we have the following decay esitmate for them.

Lemma 11. *For any ϵ, $\eta > 0$ small enough, we have $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon, \eta) > 0$ such that when $\hbar < \hbar_0$, $\Delta_{ij,0}$ has one dimensional eigenspace in $I(\hbar)$. If we let $\varphi_q \in \Omega_{ij}^*(M_{q,\eta}, \hbar)_0$ be the coresponding unit length eigenform, we have*

$$\varphi_q = \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(e^{-(\rho(q,x)-\epsilon)/\hbar}), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon$ stands for C^0 bound with a constant depending on ϵ. Same estimate holds for $d_{ij,0}\varphi_q$ and $d_{ij,0}^*\varphi_q$ as well.

We are now ready to give the definition of $\phi_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)$. For each critical point p, we take a cut off function θ_p such that $\theta_p \equiv 1$ in $\overline{B(p,\eta)}$ and compactly supported in $B(p,2\eta)$. Given a critical point $q \in C^l$, we let

$$\chi_q = 1 - \sum_{p\neq q,\, p\in C^l} \theta_p.$$

Definition 12. *For $\eta > 0$ small enough, there exists $h_0 = h_0(\eta) > 0$, such that when $h < h_0$, we have an isomorphism as v.s.*

$$\hat{\phi}_{ij}(\eta,\hbar) : CM^*(f_{ij}) \to \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$$

defined by

$$\hat{\phi}_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)(q) = P_{ij}\chi_q\varphi_q, \tag{3.3}$$

where $P_{ij} : \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar) \to \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$ is the projection on the small eigenspace.

Remark 13. *One may notice that φ_q is defined only up to $\pm$ sign. Recall that in the definition of Morse category, we fix an orientation for unstable submanifold V_q^- and stable submanifold V_q^+ at q. The sign of φ_q is chosen such that it agrees with the orientation of V_q^- at q.*

Definition 14. *We renormalize $\hat{\phi}_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)$ to give a map $\phi_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)$ defined by*

$$\phi_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)(q) = \frac{|\lambda_-|^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|\lambda_+|^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left(\frac{\pi\hbar}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-\deg(q))}\hat{\phi}_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)(q), \tag{3.4}$$

where λ_+ and λ_- are products of positive and negative eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 f$ at q respectively.

Remark 15. *The meaning of the normalization is to get the following asymptotic expansion*

$$\int_{V_q^-} e^{f_{ij}/\hbar}\phi_q = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar), \tag{3.5}$$

which is the one appeared in [10].

We may simply denote $\hat{\phi}_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)(q)$ and $\phi_{ij}(\eta,\hbar)(q)$ by $\hat{\phi}_q$ and ϕ_q respectively. From the estimate (3.2), we also have a similar estimate for $\hat{\phi}_q$.

Lemma 16. *For any ϵ, $\eta > 0$ small enough, there exists $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon,\eta) > 0$ such that for $\hbar < \hbar_0$, we have*

$$\hat{\phi}_q = \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-(\rho(q,x)-\epsilon-2\eta)/\hbar}), \tag{3.6}$$

and same estimate holds for $d_{ij}\hat{\phi}_q$ and $d^*_{ij}\hat{\phi}_q$.

The estimate for derivatives of $\hat{\phi}_q$ can be strengthen. Notice that $d_{ij}\hat{\phi}_q$ is an eigenform of degree $l+1$, similarily $d^*_{ij}\hat{\phi}_q$ is an eigenform of degree $l-1$. Making use of this, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 17. *For any ϵ, $\eta > 0$ small enough, there exists $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon, \eta) > 0$ such that for $\hbar < \hbar_0(\epsilon, \eta)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ij}\hat{\phi}_q &= \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-(\alpha_q(x)-\epsilon-4\eta)/\hbar}), \\ d_{ij}^*\hat{\phi}_q &= \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-(\beta_q(x)-\epsilon-4\eta)/\hbar}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_q(x) &= \min_{p\in C^{l+1}\cup C^l\setminus\{q\}} \rho(q,p)+\rho(p,x), \\ \beta_q(x) &= \min_{p\in C^{l-1}\cup C^l\setminus\{q\}} \rho(q,p)+\rho(p,x). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can compare the inner products on CM_{ij}^* and $\Omega_{ij}^*(M,\hbar)_{sm}$. If we define a square matrix with column and row labelled by C^l,

$$\mathcal{D}_{pq} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = q \\ e^{-\rho(p,q)/\hbar} & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases},$$

then we obtain the following inner product matrix between the eigenforms.

Lemma 18. *For any ϵ, $\eta > 0$ small enough, there exists $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon, \eta) > 0$ such that for $\hbar < \hbar_0(\epsilon, \eta)$, we have a matrix identity*

$$\langle \hat{\phi}_p, \hat{\phi}_q\rangle - \langle \chi_p\varphi_p, \chi_q\varphi_q\rangle = \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{(\epsilon+4\eta)/\hbar}(\mathcal{D}^2+\mathcal{D}^3)). \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, we have an estimate

$$\langle \chi_p\varphi_p, \chi_q\varphi_q\rangle = \begin{cases} \delta_{pq} + \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-(2S_0-\epsilon-4\eta)/\hbar}) & \text{if } p = q \\ \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\rho(p,q)/\hbar}) & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$S_0 = \min_{p\neq q\in C^l} \rho(p,q).$$

Finally, we need the following resolvent estimate proven in section 4 for our operator G_{ij} defined in (2.4).

Lemma 19. *For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any two point $x_0, y_0 \in M$, there exist neighborhood V and U (depending on ϵ) of x_0 and y_0 respectively, and $C_{j,\epsilon} > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla^j(G_{ij}u)\|_{C^0(V)} \leq C_{j,\epsilon}e^{-(\rho(x_0,y_0)-\epsilon)/\hbar}\|u\|_{W^{k_j,2}(U)}, \tag{3.10}$$

for all $\hbar < \hbar_0$ and $u \in C_c^0(U)$, where $W^{k,p}$ refer to the Sobolev norm.

We begin our proof with the a priori estimate.

3.2. **A priori estimate.** We will use the result in previous section to localize the integral

$$\int_M m_k(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}),\ldots,\phi(q_{01}))\wedge *\frac{\phi(q_{0k})}{\|\phi(q_{0k})\|^2} \tag{3.11}$$

to gradient flow trees, when the degree condition (3.1) holds. We begin with the $m_3(\hbar)$ case which involve less combinatorics to illustrate the analytic argument.

3.2.1. $m_3(\hbar)$ *case.* There are two 3-leafed directed trees, which are denoted by T_1 and T_2. We simply consider $m_3^{T_1}(\hbar)$ for T_1 which is the tree shown in figure **??** and relate this operation to counting gradient trees of type T_1. T_1 has two interior vertices, which is denoted by v and v_r as in the figure. According to the combinatorics of T_1, we define $\vec{\rho}_{T_1} : M^{|V(T_1)|} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\rho}_{T_1}(x_v, x_{v_r}) &= \rho_{13}(x_v, x_{v_r}) \\ &+ \rho_{01}(x_{v_r}, q_{01}) + \rho_{12}(x_v, q_{12}) + \rho_{23}(x_v, q_{23}) + \rho_{03}(x_{v_r}, q_{03}).\end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, it is the length of geodesic tree of type T_1 with interior vertices x_v, x_{v_r} and end points of semi-infinite edges e_{ij}'s laying on q_{ij}'s as shown in the following figure.



Notice that $\vec{\rho}_{T_1}(x_v, x_{v_r}) \geq A$ and the equality holds if and only if (x_v, x_{v_r}) are interior vertices of a gradient flow tree of the type T_1, where $A = f_{03}(q_{03}) - f_{01}(q_{01}) - f_{12}(q_{12}) - f_{23}(q_{23})$.

The term

$$\langle m_3^{T_1}(\phi_{23}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{01}), \frac{\phi_{03}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2} \rangle = \int_M H_{13}(\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}) \wedge \phi_{01} \wedge *(\frac{\phi_{03}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2}), \tag{3.12}$$

can be controlled by $\vec{\rho}_{T_1}$. More precisely, fixing two point $x_v, x_{v_r} \in M$ and ϵ small enough, we can have lemma 19 holds for operator G_{13} and hence H_{13} with U and V being balls centering at x_v and x_{v_r} (with respect to ρ_{13}) of radius r_1. If we have two cut off functions χ and χ_r supported in $B(x_v, r_1)$ and $B(x_{v_r}, r_1)$ respectively, then we can have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi_r H_{13}(\chi\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12})\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq\ & C_{\epsilon,\eta} e^{-(\rho_{23}(q_{23},x_v)+\rho_{12}(q_{12},x_v)+\rho_{13}(x_v,x_{v_r})-2r_1-3\epsilon-4\eta)/\hbar}\end{aligned}$$

for those $\hbar$ small enough. Here the decays $\rho_{23}(q_{23}, x_v)$ and $\rho_{12}(q_{12}, x_v)$ come from the a priori estimate in lemma 16 for the input form ϕ_{23} and ϕ_{12}

respectively, while the decay $\rho_{13}(x_v, x_{v_r})$ comes from the resolvent estimate lemma 19. Combining with the decay estimates for ϕ_{01} and ϕ_{03}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\chi_r H_{13}(\chi\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}) \wedge \phi_{01} \wedge *\phi_{03}\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq \quad & C_{\epsilon,\eta} e^{-(\vec{\rho}_{T_1}(x_v, x_{v_r}) - 4r_1 - 5\epsilon - 6\eta)/\hbar}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume there are gradient trees $\Gamma_1, \dots \Gamma_l$ of the type T_1. For each tree Γ_i, we take open subsets $D_{\Gamma_i,v}$ and D_{Γ_i,v_r}, $W_{\Gamma_i,v}$ and W_{Γ_i,v_r} of interiors vertices $x_{\Gamma,v}$ and x_{Γ,v_r} respectively, with $\overline{D_{\Gamma_i,v}} \subset W_{\Gamma_i,v}$ and $\overline{D_{\Gamma_i,v_r}} \subset W_{\Gamma_i,v_r}$. The following figure illustrates the situation.



We can assume there is a constant C such that $\vec{\rho}_{T_1} \geq A + C$ in $M^{|V(T_1)|} \setminus D_{\Gamma_i}$, where $D_{\Gamma_i} = D_{\Gamma_i,v} \times D_{\Gamma_i,v_r}$. If $\vec{B}(\vec{x}, r_1) = B(x_v, r_1) \times B(x_{v_r}, r_1)$ is away from the D_{Γ_i}, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\chi_r H_{13}(\chi\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}) \wedge \phi_{01} \wedge *\phi_{03}\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq \quad & C_{\epsilon,\eta} e^{-(A + \frac{C}{2})/\hbar}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can take cut off function $\chi_{\Gamma_i,v}$, χ_{Γ_i,v_r} associating to each tree Γ_i, with support in $W_{\Gamma_i,v}$, W_{Γ_i,v_r} and equal to 1 on $\overline{D_{\Gamma_i,v}}$, $\overline{D_{\Gamma_i,v_r}}$ respectively, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle m_3^{T_1}(\phi_{23}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{01}), \frac{\phi_{03}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2} \rangle \\ = \quad & \sum_i \int_M \{\chi_{\Gamma_i,v_r} H_{13}(\chi_{\Gamma_i,v}\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}) \wedge \phi_{01} \wedge * \frac{\phi_{03}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2}\} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{(A + \frac{C}{2})}{\hbar}}). \end{aligned}$$

This localizes the integral computing $m_3^{T_1}$ to gradient trees of type T_1. Notice that the neighborhood D_{Γ_i} and W_{Γ_i} can be chosen to be arbitrary small in the previous argument. Next, we will consider the case of general $m_k(\hbar)$ which has more complicated notations.

3.2.2. *$m_k(\hbar)$ case.* In the case of $m_k(\hbar)$, we fix a k-leafed tree T and consider the operation corresponding to it, denoted by $m_k^T(\hbar)$. We try to relate this operation to counting of gradient trees of type T. We have the function $\vec{\rho}_T : M^{|V(T)|} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ defined according to the combinatorics of T given by

$$\vec{\rho}_T(\vec{x}) = \sum_{e_{ij}\in E(T)} \rho_{ij}(x_S(e_{ij}), x_E(e_{ij}))+ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \rho_{i(i+1)}(q_{i(i+1)}, x_E(e_{i(i+1)})) + \rho_{0k}(q_{0k}, x_S(e_{0k})). \tag{3.13}$$

Here the variables $\vec{x}$ are labelled by the vertices of T. $x_S(e)$ and $x_E(e)$ refer to the variables corresponding to vertices which are starting point and endpoint of the edge e respectively. Recall that $E(T)$ is the set of internal edges of T and each interior edge e has a unique label by two integers as e_{ij}, corresponding to the Morse function $f_{ij} = f_j - f_i$. The notation ρ_{ij} refers to the Agmon distance corresponding to the Morse function f_{ij}.

$\vec{\rho}_T(\vec{x})$ is the length function of a geodesic tree (may not be unique) with topological type T, with interior vertices $\vec{x}$ and semi-infinite edges ending on critical points q_{ij}. Similar to the case of $m_3(\hbar)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 20. *The function $\vec{\rho}_T$ is bounded below by $A = f_{01}(q_{01}) + \cdots + f_{(k-1)k}(q_{(k-1)k}) - f_{0k}(q_{0k})$, and it attains minimum at $\vec{x}$ if and only if $\vec{x}$ is the vector consisting of interior vertices of a gradient flow tree of $\vec{f}$ of type T ending in corresponding critical points $\vec{q}$.*

Proof. The proof relies on the fact (see [7]) that we have

$$|f_{ij}(x) - f_{ij}(y)| \leq \rho_{ij}(x, y),$$

if f_{ij} is a Morse function on M, and $\rho_{ij}(x, y)$ is the Agmon distance. Furthermore, the equality $f_{ij}(x) - f_{ij}(y) = \rho_{ij}(x, y)$ forces the geodesic from y to x to be a generalized integral curve of ∇f_{ij}. We apply this fact to each term in (3.13) and the result follows. □

Every gradient flow tree $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\vec{f}, \vec{q})(T)$ is associated with a unique minimum point $\vec{x}_\Gamma \in M^{|V(T)|}$. For each tree, we take a covering W_Γ of x_Γ, given by a product $W_\Gamma = \prod_{v\in V(T)} W_{\Gamma,v}$, where each $W_{\Gamma,v}$ is an open subsets in M containing v such that all $W_{\Gamma,v}$'s are disjoint from each other. If we further take $D_\Gamma = \prod_{v\in V(T)} D_{\Gamma,v}$ such that $\overline{D_{\Gamma,v}} \subset W_{\Gamma,v}$, we have a constant $C > 0$ such that $\vec{\rho}_T \geq A + C$ on $M^{|V(T)|} \setminus D_\Gamma$. We are going to show that the integral (3.11) can be localized.

We take a finite covering of M with balls $\{B(x,r)\}_{B(x,r)\in\mathcal{J}}$ of radius r centering at x, with a partition of unity $\{\chi_B\}_{B\in\mathcal{J}}$ subordinating to it. We choose a covering $\{B_r(\vec{x})\}_{B\in\mathcal{I}}$ of $M^{|V(T)|}$ given by product $B_r(\vec{x}) = \prod_{v\in V(T)} B(x_v,r)$, where $B(x_v,r)\in\mathcal{J}$. We decompose $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_1\cup\mathcal{I}_2$ such that $B\in\mathcal{I}_2$ are those having empty intersection with $\overline{D_\Gamma}$, and $B\in\mathcal{I}_1$ satisfying $\overline{B}\subset W_\Gamma$. These can be achieved by choosing r small enough.

We can take cut off functions subordinate to the covering $\{B\}_{\mathcal{I}}$, given by product of functions χ_B on M. We write $\vec{\chi}_B = \prod_{v\in V(T)}\chi_{B(x_v,r)}$ for the function supported in B. We will use $\vec{\chi}_B$ to cut off the following integral

$$m_k^T(\hbar)(\vec{q}) := \int_M m_k^T(\hbar)(\phi(q_{(k-1)k}),\dots,\phi(q_{01}))\wedge *\frac{\phi(q_{0k})}{\|\phi(q_{0k})\|^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Recall that the $m_k^T(\hbar)$ is defined using wedge product and the homotopy operator H_{ij}, following the combinatorics of the tree T. The cut off $\chi_{B(x_v,r)}$ is multipled to functions when taking wedge product at the vertex v. We will write $m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi})$ for the integral after cutting off by $\vec{\chi}$. Therefore we have

$$m_k^T(\hbar)(\vec{q}) = \sum_{B\in\mathcal{I}_1} m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_B)(\vec{q}) + \sum_{B\in\mathcal{I}_2} m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_B)(\vec{q}), \tag{3.15}$$

where $m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_{\vec{B}})(\vec{q})$ stand for the integral after cutting off by $\vec{\chi}_{\vec{B}}$. Applying the resolvent estimate in section 4 and the estimate (16), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 21. *For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $r(\epsilon)>0$, $\eta(\epsilon)>0$ and $\hbar(\epsilon)>0$ such that*

$$m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_B) = \mathcal{O}_{r,\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-(\vec{\rho}_T(\vec{x})-\epsilon)/\hbar}) \tag{3.16}$$

for $\hbar<\hbar(\epsilon)$, if we take the covering of radius $r<r(\epsilon)$ and $\eta<\eta(\epsilon)$. Here $\vec{x}$ is the center of the ball B.

The proof is essentially the same as the case for $m_3(\hbar)$. Similarly, we can have

$$\sum_{B\in\mathcal{I}_2} m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_B) = \mathcal{O}_{r,\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-(A+\frac{C}{2})/\hbar}),$$

for $\hbar$ small enough. It follows from the fact that $\vec{\rho}_T(\vec{x})\geq A+C$ for those covering in $\mathcal{I}_2$. This result basically says that the integral $m_k^T(\hbar)$ can be localized to gradient flow tree using the cut off mentioned above. To summarize, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 22. *For each gradient flow tree Γ, there is a sequence of cutoff functions $\{\vec{\chi}_\Gamma\}$ which is supported in W_Γ and satisfy $\vec{\chi}_\Gamma\equiv 1$ on $\overline{V_\Gamma}$ such that*

$$m_k^T(\hbar)(\vec{q}) = \sum_{\Gamma\in\mathcal{M}(\vec{f},\vec{q})(T)} m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_\Gamma)(\vec{q}) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-(A+\frac{C}{2})/\hbar}), \tag{3.17}$$

for $\hbar$ small enough.

Remark 23. *In the above argument, the neighborhood W_Γ can be chosen to be arbitrary small. We will have a smaller constant C in the above proposition.*

After localizing the integral, we move on to the section concerning WKB approximation.

3.3. **WKB method.** Fixing a gradient flow tree Γ, we are going to use the WKB approximation helping computation of the leading order contribution of $m_k^T(\hbar, \vec{\chi}_\Gamma)$. The rough idea of WKB method to solve the PDE involving Witten Laplacian by solving the transport equation iteratively, which is an ODE.

First of all, by the result in [7], we have WKB approximations of those input eigenforms. Second, we are going to further develop this WKB method for the inhomogeneous equation (3.20) which is related to the homotopy operators along the gradient flow tree in section 5. In this section, we will state the results of WKB methods and argue associate WKB solutions can be used in the computation of leading order contribution of $m_k(\hbar)$. The WKB solutions are better for explicit computation as they are governed by ODEs instead of PDEs.

3.3.1. *WKB method for Witten Laplace operators.* We first state the result from [7]. Let f_{ij} be a Morse function and q_{ij} is a critical point of f_{ij}. with the eigenform associated to q_{ij} is denoted by ϕ_{ij}.

Lemma 24. *There is a WKB approximation of ϕ_{ij} of the form*

$$\phi_{ij} \sim e^{-\psi_{ij}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{ij})}{2}}(\omega_{ij,0} + \omega_{ij,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots) \tag{3.18}$$

in any small enough open set W counting $V^+_{q_{ij}} \cup V^-_{q_{ij}}$, where $\psi_{ij} = \rho_{ij}(q_{ij}, \cdot)$ is the Agmon distance function from q_{ij}.

Remark 25. *The precise meaning of this WKB approximation is given in section 5.6. Roughly speaking, it is in the sense of C^∞ approximation on every compact subset of W*

Remark 26. *$g^+_{ij} = \psi_{ij} - (f_{ij} - f_{ij}(q_{ij}))$ is a nonnegative function which is Bott-Morse in neighborhood of $V^+_{q_{ij}}$ with zero set $V^+_{q_{ij}}$.*

Remark 27. *There is also a similar approximation for $*\phi_{ij}$, in neighborhood of the unstable submanifold $V^-_{q_{ij}}$. In that case, $g^-_{ij} = \psi_{ij} + (f_{ij} - f_{ij}(q_{ij}))$ is a nonnegative function which is Bott-Morse in neighborhood of $V^-_{q_{ij}}$ with zero set $V^-_{q_{ij}}$.*

3.3.2. *WKB method for homotopy operators.* Here we state a WKB method needed for the homotopy operators appear in the higher products $m_k(\hbar)$. The proof can be found in chapter 5.

We begin with giving the step up above the lemma. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a flow line of $\nabla f_{ij}/|\nabla f_{ij}|_{f_{ij}}$ starts at $\gamma(0) = x_S$ and $\gamma(T) = x_E$ for a fixed $T > 0$. We consider an input form ζ_S defined in a neighborhood W_S of x_S. Suppose we are given a WKB approximation of ξ_S in W_S, which is an approximation of ξ_S according to order of $\hbar$ of the form

$$\xi_S \sim e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}(\omega_{S,0} + \omega_{S,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \omega_{S,2}\hbar^1 + \dots) \tag{3.19}$$

(The precise meaning of this infinite series approximation can be found in section 5.6). We further assume that $g_S = \psi_S - f_{ij}$ is a positive Bott-Morse function in W_S with zero set V_S. We consider the equation

$$\Delta_{ij}\xi_E = (I - P_{ij})d^*_{ij}(\chi_S\xi_S), \tag{3.20}$$

where χ_S is a cutoff function compactly supported in W_S, $P_{ij} : \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar) \to \Omega^*_{ij}(M,\hbar)_{sm}$ is the projection. We want to have a WKB approximation of ξ_E.

Lemma 28. *For* $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)$ *small enough, there is a WKB approximation of* ξ_E *in a small enough neighborhood* W_E *of* E*, of the form*

$$\xi_E \sim e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}\hbar^{-1/2}(\omega_{E,0} + \omega_{E,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots). \tag{3.21}$$

Furthermore, we know that the function $g_E = \psi_E - f$ *is a nonnegative function which is Bott-Morse in* W_E *with zero set* $V_E = (\bigcup_{-\infty<t<+\infty} \sigma_t(V_S)) \cap W_E$ *which is closed in* W_E*, where* σ_t *is the* t *time flow of* $\frac{\nabla f}{\|\nabla f\|_f}$.

Provided the above lemmas, we are going to show that the WKB approximations can be used to compute the leading order contribution in $m_k^T(\hbar, \vec{\chi}_\Gamma)$. We proceed in the same way as the last subsection by first considering the $m_3(\hbar)$ case.

3.3.3. *$m_3(\hbar)$ case.* We fix a gradient tree Γ of type T_1 as in the subsection 3.2.1, with interior vertices $x_{\Gamma,v}$ and x_{Γ,v_r}. Since the gradient tree Γ is fixed, we trend to omit the dependence on Γ in our notation. We take neighborhood W_v and W_{v_r} of x_v and x_{v_r} respectively, with cut off functions χ_v and χ_{v_r} supported in W_v and W_{v_r} respectively as shown in the following figure.



As $x_v \in V^+_{q_{12}} \cap V^+_{q_{23}}$, we can assume that the WKB approximations

$$\phi_{12} \sim e^{-\psi_{12}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{12})}{2}}(\omega_{12,0} + \omega_{12,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots),$$

and

$$\phi_{23} \sim e^{-\psi_{23}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})}{2}}(\omega_{23,0} + \omega_{23,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots)$$

hold in W_v, by taking a smaller W_v if necessary.

We apply lemma 5.1 with Morse function f_{13}, input form $\xi_S = \phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}$, starting vertex $x_S = x_v$, ending vertex $x_E = x_{v_r}$, with neighborhood $W_S = W_v$ and $W_E = W_{v_r}$ (This can be done by shrinking W_v and W_{v_r} if necessary). As a result, we obtain the WKB approximation

$$H_{13}(\chi_{\Gamma,v}\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}) \sim e^{-\psi_{13}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})+\deg(q_{12})+1}{2}}(\omega_{13,0} + \omega_{13,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots),$$

by taking $\psi_E = \psi_{13}$ and $\omega_{E,i} = \omega_{13,i}$ in the lemma.

In order to compute

$$m_3^{T_1}(\hbar, \vec{\chi}_\Gamma) = \int_M \chi_{v_r} H_{13}(\chi_v\phi_{23} \wedge \phi_{12}) \wedge \phi_{01} \wedge *\frac{\phi_{03}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2}$$

up to an error of order $e^{-\frac{A}{\hbar}}\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$, we can simply compute the integral

$$\begin{aligned}(3.22)\quad &\int_M \{\chi_{v_r}(e^{-\psi_{13}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})+\deg(q_{12})+1}{2}}\omega_{13,0}) \wedge (e^{-\psi_{01}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{01})}{2}}\omega_{01,0}) \\ &\qquad \wedge (\hbar^{\frac{\deg(q_{03})}{2}}\frac{e^{-\psi_{03}/\hbar} * \omega_{03,0}}{\|e^{-\psi_{03}/\hbar}\omega_{03,0}\|^2})\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|e^{-\psi_{03}/\hbar}\omega_{03,0}\|^2}\int_M \{\chi_{v_r}(e^{-(\psi_{13}+\psi_{01}+\psi_{03})/\hbar}\omega_{13,0} \wedge \omega_{01,0} \wedge *\omega_{03,0}).\end{aligned}$$

What we obtained is an integral involving $\omega_{ij,0}$'s, which are governed by ODEs. This is easier for explicit computation. Next, we move on to show this also happens in the $m_k(\hbar)$ case.

3.3.4. *$m_k(\hbar)$ case.* We consider a gradient tree Γ of type T, with k semi-infinite incoming edges. Recall in section 2.2.1 that each edge in T is assigned with a label by two integer ij. We will use ij to represent an edge in T and denote the corresponding edge in the gradient tree Γ by e_{ij}. The vertex in gradient tree corresponding to v in T will be denoted by x_v. We again omit the dependence on Γ in our notations as it is fixed.

We fix a neighborhood W_v and cut off function χ_v support in W_v for each interior vertex v inductively along the directed gradient tree Γ as shown in the following figure.



It is chosen such that:

(1) if v is connected to a semi-infinite incoming edge $i(i+1)$, then the WKB approximation of input eigenform $\phi_{i(i+1)}$ holds in W_v. For example, we require the WKB approximation of $\phi_{(k-1)k}$ in $W_{\tilde{v}}$ and WKB approximations of $\phi_{(k-2)(k-1)}, \phi_{(k-3)(k-2)}$ in W_v hold;

(2) if ij is an internal edge with starting vertex v_S and ending vertex v_E as shown, we require that $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_{v_S})$ and W_{v_E} to be small enough



such that the WKB approximation of the equation

$$\Delta_{f_{ij}}\xi_E = (I - P_{f_{ij}})d^*_{f_{ij}}(\chi_{v_S}\xi_S)$$

in lemma 28 holds in W_{v_E};

(3) for the root vertex v_r connecting to the unique outgoing edge $0k$, we require the WKB approximation of $*\phi_{0k}$ holds in W_{v_r}.

Next, We associate a WKB expression

$$\phi_{(ij,v)} \sim e^{-\psi_{(ij,v)}/\hbar}\hbar^{r_{(ij,v)}}(\omega_{(ij,v),0} + \omega_{(ij,v),1} + \dots)$$

in W_v to each flag (ij, v) inductively with the properties:

(1) for a semi-infinite incoming edge $i(i+1)$ and its ending vertex v, we take $\phi_{(i(i+1),v)}$ to be the input eigenform $\phi_{i(i+1)}$, with its the WKB approximation in lemma 24. We also let $g_{(i(i+1),v)} = \psi_{(i(i+1),v)} - f_{i(i+1)} - f_{i(i+1)}(q)$;

(2) for an internal edge il with its starting vertex v and assume ij and jl are two incoming edges meeting il at v as shown, we take



$\phi_{(il,v)} = \phi_{(jl,v)} \wedge \phi_{(ij,v)}$. The WKB expression of $\phi_{(il,v)}$ comes from the expression of $\phi_{(jl,v)} \wedge \phi_{(ij,v)}$, which means

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{(il,v)} &= \psi_{(ij,v)} + \psi_{(jl,v)}, \\ \omega_{(e_{il},v),n} &= \sum_{m+m'=n} \omega_{(jl,v),m} \wedge \omega_{(ij,v),m'}, \\ r_{(il,v)} &= r_{(jl,v)} + r_{(ij,v)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also let $g_{(il,v)} = g_{(ij,v)} + g_{(jl,v)}$;

(3) for an internal edge ij with its starting vertex v_S and ending vertex v_E, we take $\phi_{(ij,v_E)} = H_{ij}(\chi_{\Gamma,v_S}\phi_{(ij,v_S)})$. The WKB expression of $\phi_{(ij,v_E)}$ comes from that of ξ_E in lemma 28 by putting $\xi_S = \phi_{(ij,v_S)}$. We also let $g_{(ij,v_E)} = \psi_{(ij,v_E)} - f_{ij} + \sum_{i<m\leq j} f_{(m-1)m}(q_{(m-1)m})$;

(4) for the semi-infinite outgoing edge $0k$ with the root vertex v_r, we take $\phi_{(0k,v_r)}$ to be the eigenform ϕ_{0k}, with its the WKB approximation in lemma 24. We also let $g_{(0k,v_r)} = \psi_{(0k,v_r)} + f_{0k}$.

Remark 29. *Notice that the above two induction steps are done at the same time along the directed tree T. It is because when we apply lemma 28 along*

an internal edge ij with starting vertex v_S and ending vertex v_E, how small $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_{v_S})$ *depends on the input form $\phi_{(ij,v_S)}$, or more precisely, properties of the function $\psi_{(ij,v_S)}$. The size of W_{v_E} also depends on it.*

From the definition of $m_k^T(\hbar, \vec{\chi}_\Gamma)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle m_k^T(\phi_{(k-1)k}, \dots, \phi_{01}), \frac{\phi_{0k}}{\|\phi_{0k}\|^2} \rangle \\ = & \int_M \phi_{(jk,v_r)} \wedge \phi_{(0j,v_r)} \wedge \frac{*\phi_{(0k,v_r)}}{\|\phi_{(0k,v_r)}\|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

if we have three edges $0j$, jk and $0k$ meeting at the root vertex v_r. Applying lemma 24 to input eigenforms and 28 to homotopy operators H_{ij} along internal edges e_{ij}'s, we prove that each WKB approximation

$$\phi_{(ij,v)} \sim e^{-\psi_{(ij,v)}/\hbar} \hbar^{r_{(ij,v)}} (\omega_{(ij,v),0} + \omega_{(ij,v),1} + \dots)$$

is an C^∞ approximation with error $e^{-\psi_{(ij,v)}/\hbar}\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$. Therefore, we can replace ϕ's by first term in its WKB approximation for computing the leading order contribution. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.23) \quad & \langle m_k^T(\phi_{(k-1)k}, \dots, \phi_{01}), \frac{\phi_{0k}}{\|\phi_{0k}\|^2} \rangle \\ & = \{\hbar^{r_{(jk,v_r)}+r_{(0j,v_r)}+r_{(0k,v_r)}} \int_M e^{-\frac{\psi_{(jk,v_r)}+\psi_{(0j,v_r)}+\psi_{(0k,v_r)}}{\hbar}} \\ & \qquad \chi_{v_r}(\omega_{(jk,v_r),0} \wedge \omega_{(0j,v_r),0} \wedge \frac{*\omega_{(0k,v_r),0}}{\|\phi_{0k}\|^2})\}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)). \end{aligned}$$

3.4. **Explicit computation.** We are going to compute the leading order contribution of the above integral in the coming section. This is possible since the ψ's are explicit geometric functions and ω's are determined by ODEs. We again begin with the computation for $m_3(\hbar)$ case which involve less combinatorics.

3.4.1. $m_3(\hbar)$ *case:* We have to compute the leading order contribution from the integral (3.22). We first take a look of the exponential decay $e^{-\frac{\psi_{13}+\psi_{01}+\psi_{03}}{\hbar}}$ in the integral. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{13} &= g_{13} + f_{13} - f_{23}(q_{23}) - f_{12}(q_{12}), \\ \psi_{01} &= g_{01}^+ + f_{01} - f_{01}(q_{01}), \\ \psi_{03} &= g_{03}^- - f_{03} + f_{03}(q_{03}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\psi_{13} + \psi_{01} + \psi_{03} = g_{13} + g_{01}^+ + g_{03}^- + A,$$

where $A = f_{03}(q_{03}) - f_{01}(q_{01}) - f_{12}(q_{12}) - f_{23}(q_{23})$. Therefore, what we have to compute is the leading order term from the integral

$$\int_M \chi_{v_r}(e^{-\frac{g_{13}+g_{01}^+ + g_{03}^-}{\hbar}} \omega_{13,0} \wedge \omega_{01,0} \wedge * \frac{\omega_{03,0}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2}). \tag{3.24}$$

We claim that the exponential decay $e^{-\frac{g_{13}+g_{01}^+ + g_{03}^-}{\hbar}}$ will limit to the delta distribution concentrating at the root vertex x_{v_r} of the gradient tree Γ. This precisely means that $g_{13} + g_{01}^+ + g_{03}^- \geq 0$ is a Bott-Morse function in W_{v_r} with absolute minimum x_{v_r}.

We recall in remark 26 that g_{01}^+ and g_{03}^- are Bott-Morse with absolute minimums V_{01}^+ and V_{03}^- respectively. We also recall from lemma 28 that g_{13} is also Bott-Morse in W_{v_r} with absolute minimum denoted by V_{13} (colored red in the following figure), which is the submanifold $(\bigcup_{-\infty<t<+\infty} \sigma_t(V_{23}^+ \cap V_{12}^+)) \cap W_{v_r}$ flow out from $V_{23}^+ \cap V_{12}^+$ (colored blue in the following figure), under the flow of $\frac{\nabla f}{\|\nabla f\|_f}$ which is denoted by σ_t.



The definition of gradient tree indicates that $\{x_{v_r}\} = V_{13} \cap V_{01}^+ \cap V_{03}^-$ transversally which means $e^{-\frac{g_{13}+g_{01}^+ + g_{03}^-}{\hbar}}$ concentrating at x_{v_r}. To deal with this situation, we have the following lemma which will be proven in section 5.7.

Lemma 30. *Let M be a n-dimensional manifold and S be a k-dimensional submanifold in M, with a neighborhood B of S which can be identified as the normal bundle $\pi : NS \to S$. Suppose $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a Bott-Morse function with zero set S and $\beta \in \Omega^*(B)$ has vertical compactly support along the fiber of π, we have*

$$\pi_*(e^{-\varphi(x)/\hbar}\beta) = (2\pi\hbar)^{(n-k)/2}(\iota_{\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2\varphi)}\beta)|_V(1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)),$$

where π_* is the integration along fiber. Here $\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2\varphi)$ stands for the volume polyvector field defined for the positive symmetric tensor $\nabla^2\varphi$ along fibers of π.

We find from the above lemma that the leading order contribution in the above integral (3.24) depend only on values of $\omega_{13,0}$, $\omega_{01,0}$ and $*\omega_{03,0}$ at the point v_r. We will see later in lemma 32 that $\omega_{13,0}$, $\omega_{23,0}$ and $*\omega_{03,0}$ are forms in $\bigwedge^{top} NV_{13}^*$, $\bigwedge^{top}(NV_{23}^+)^*$ and $\bigwedge^{top}(NV_{03}^-)^*$ respectively. Therefore we can have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\int_M \chi_{v_r} e^{-\frac{g_{13}+g_{01}^+ +g_{03}^-}{\hbar}} \omega_{13,0}\wedge\omega_{01,0}\wedge *\omega_{03,0})\\
= \;& \pm(\int_{NV_{13,x_{v_r}}} e^{-\frac{g_{13}}{\hbar}}\chi_{v_r}\omega_{13,0})(\int_{NV^+_{23,x_{v_r}}} e^{-\frac{g_{23}^+}{\hbar}}\chi_{v_r}\omega_{23,0})\\
&(\int_{NV^-_{03,x_{v_r}}} e^{-\frac{g_{03}}{\hbar}}\chi_{v_r}*\omega_{03,0})(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the sign depending on matching the orientations of $NV_{13}\oplus NV_{01}^+\oplus NV_{03}^-$ and TM at the point x_{v_r}. We will compute the above three integrals one by one. We begin with the equalities

$$\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})}{2}}\int_{NV^+_{23,x_{v_r}}} e^{-\frac{g_{23}^+}{\hbar}}\chi_{v_r}\omega_{23,0} = 1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$$

and

$$\frac{\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{03})}{2}}}{\|\phi_{03}\|^2}\int_{NV^-_{03,x_{v_r}}} e^{-\frac{g_{03}^-}{\hbar}}\chi_{v_r}*\omega_{03,0} = 1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar).$$

This come from [7] which is reformulated as the following lemma.

Lemma 31. *We assume there is a WKB approximation*

$$\phi_{ij}\sim e^{-\psi_{ij}/\hbar}\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{ij})}{2}}(\omega_{ij,0}+\omega_{ij,1}\hbar^{1/2}+\dots)$$

in any small enough open set W counting $V_{q_{ij}}^+\cup V_{q_{ij}}^-$. Then we have

$$\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{ij})}{2}}\int_{NV^+_{q_{ij},x}} e^{-\frac{g_{ij}^+}{\hbar}}\chi\omega_{ij,0} = 1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$$

for any point $x\in V_{q_{ij}}^+$ and $\chi\equiv 1$ around x compactly supported in W. Similarily, we also have

$$\frac{\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{ij})}{2}}}{\|\phi_{ij}\|^2}\int_{NV^-_{q_{ij},x}} e^{-\frac{g_{ij}^-}{\hbar}}\chi*\omega_{ij,0} = 1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar),$$

for any point $x\in V_{q_{ij}}^-$ and $\chi\equiv 1$ around x compactly supported in W.

Finally, we have the equality

$$\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})+\deg(q_{12})+1}{2}}\int_{NV_{13,x_{v_r}}} e^{-\frac{g_{13}}{\hbar}}\chi_{v_r}\omega_{13,0} = \frac{1}{\hbar}(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)).$$

This depends on the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})+\deg(q_{12})}{2}} \int_{N(V_{23}^+\cap V_{12}^+)_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{23}^+ + g_{12}^+}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{23,0} \wedge \omega_{12,0} \\
= \; & (\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{23})}{2}} \int_{N(V_{23}^+)_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{23}^+}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{23,0})(\hbar^{-\frac{\deg(q_{12})}{2}} \int_{N(V_{12}^+)_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{12}^+}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{12,0})(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)) \\
= \; & 1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar),
\end{aligned}$$

and the following lemma.

Lemma 32. *Using the same notation in lemma 28 and suppose χ_S and χ_E are cut off function supported in W_S and W_E respectively, then we have*

$$\int_{N(V_E)_{v_E}} e^{-\frac{\psi_E}{\hbar}} \hbar^{-1/2} \chi_E \omega_{E,0} = \frac{1}{\hbar}(\int_{N(V_S)_{v_S}} e^{-\frac{\psi_S}{\hbar}} \chi_S \omega_{S,0})(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)). \tag{3.25}$$

Furthermore, suppose $\omega_{S,0}(x_S) \in \bigwedge^{top} N(V_S)^*_{x_S}$, we have $\omega_{E,0}(x_E) \in \bigwedge^{top} N(V_E)^*_{x_E}$.

Putting the above together, we get the equality

$$m_3^{T_1}(\hbar, \vec{\chi}_\Gamma) = \pm \hbar^{-1} e^{-\frac{A}{\hbar}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)), \tag{3.26}$$

where the sign depending on matching the orientations of $NV_{13} \oplus NV_{01}^+ \oplus NV_{03}^-$ and TM at the point x_{v_r}. The proof for $m_3(\hbar)$ is completed and we move on to the $m_k(\hbar)$ case.

3.4.2. $m_k(\hbar)$ *case:* As in section 3.3.4, we fix a gradient tree Γ of type T. Similar to the previous section, we may drop the dependence of Γ in our notations. We are going to show that

$$m_k^T(\hbar, \vec{\chi}_\Gamma) = \pm \hbar^{2-k} e^{-\frac{A}{\hbar}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)), \tag{3.27}$$

where the sign agree with that associated to the gradient tree Γ in Morse category. First, we need to inductively associate to each flag (ij, v) an oriented closed submanifold $V_{(ij,v)} \subset W_v$ by specifying orientation of its normal bundle. We require:

(1) for each semi-infinite incoming edge $i(i+1)$ with ending vertex v, we let $V_{(i(i+1),v)} := V^+_{q_{i(i+1)}} \cap W_v$, where $V^+_{q_{i(i+1)}}$ is the stable submanifold of $f_{i(i+1)}$ from the critical point $q_{i(i+1)}$ with the chosen orientation $\nu_{(i(i+1),v)}$ equal to that in the Morse category;
(2) for an internal edge il with its starting vertex v and assume ij and jl are two incoming edges meeting e_{il} at v as in the section 3.3.4. We let $V_{(il,v)} = V_{(ij,v)} \cap V_{(jl,v)}$ (the intersections is transversal from the generic assumption) and $\nu_{(il,v)} = \nu_{(jl,v)} \wedge \nu_{(ij,v)}$, if $\nu_{(ij,v)}$ and $\nu_{(jl,v)}$ are two corresponding orientation forms;

(3) for an internal edge ij with its starting vertex v_S and ending vertex v_E, we define $V_{(ij,v_E)}$ equal to V_E when applying lemma 28 to the homotopy operator H_{ij}. The orientation form $\nu_{(ij,v_E)}$ is chosen such that $[\nu_{(ij,v_E)}] = [df_{ij} \wedge \nu_{(ij,v_S)}]$, under the identification by flow of ∇f_{ij};

(4) for the semi-infinite incoming edge $0k$ with root vertex v_r, we let $V_{(0k,v)} := V^-_{q_{0k}} \cap W_{v_r}$, where $V^-_{q_{0k}}$ is the unstable submanifold of f_{0k} from critical point q_{0k} with the chosen orientation $\nu_{(0k,v_r)}$ equal to that in the Morse category;

We further choose an isomorphism and projection map for every flag (ij, v)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_v & \xrightarrow{\cong} & NV_{(ij,v)} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{(e,v)}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi_{NV_{(ij,v)}}}\downarrow \\ V_{(ij,v)} & = & V_{(ij,v)}, \end{array} \tag{3.28}$$

by further shrinking W_v suitably.

We are going to argue that

$$\int_{N(V_{(ij,v)})_{x_v}} (e^{-\frac{g_{(ij,v)}}{\hbar}} \hbar^{r_{(ij,v)}} \chi_v \omega_{(ij,v),0}) = \hbar^{-r_v}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)),$$

for any flag (ij, v) except the outgoing edge $0k$, where r_v is the number of internal edge before the vertex v. This can be seen inductively along the tree T. We see that:

(1) it is true for the semi-infinite incoming edge $i(i+1)$ by lemma 31;

(2) for an internal edge il with its starting vertex v and assume ij and jl are two incoming edges meeting il at v, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar^{r_{(il,v)}} \int_{N(V_{(il,v)})_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{(il,v)}}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{(il,v),0} \\ = \; & \hbar^{r_{(jl,v)}+r_{(ij,v)}} \int_{N(V_{(jl,v)} \cap V_{(ij,v)})_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{(jl,v)}+g_{(ij,v)}}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{(jl,v),0} \wedge \omega_{(ij,v),0} \\ = \; & (\hbar^{r_{(jl,v)}} \int_{N(V_{(jl,v)})_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{(jl,v)}}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{(jl,v),0})(\hbar^{r_{(ij,v)}} \int_{N(V_{(ij,v)})_{x_v}} e^{-\frac{g_{(ij,v)}}{\hbar}} \chi_v \omega_{(ij,v),0}) \\ = \; & 1, \end{aligned}$$

up to an error of $\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$;

(3) for an internal edge ij with its starting vertex v_S and ending vertex v_E, we make use of the lemma 32 to show that an extra $\hbar^{-1}$ is created.

Together with the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\|\phi_{0k}\|^2}\int_{N(V_{(0k,v_r)})_{x_{v_r}}}(e^{-\frac{g_{(0k,v_r)}}{\hbar}}\hbar^{r_{(0k,v_r)}}\chi_{v_r}\omega_{(0k,v_r),0})=1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$$

for the outgoing edge $0k$, we can now calculate the leading term contribution from the integral (3.23). Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{(0j,v_r)} &= g_{(0j,v_r)}+f_{0j}-\sum_{0<m\leq j}f_{(m-1)m}(q_{(m-1)m}),\\ \psi_{(jk,v_r)} &= g_{(jk,v_r)}+f_{jk}-\sum_{j<m\leq k}f_{(m-1)m}(q_{(m-1)m}),\\ \psi_{(0k,v_r)} &= g_{(0k,v_r)}+f_{0k}(q_{0k})-f_{0k}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\psi_{(0j,v_r)}+\psi_{(jk,v_r)}+\psi_{(0k,v_r)}=g_{(0j,v_r)}+g_{(jk,v_r)}+g_{(0k,v_r)}+A. \tag{3.29}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M e^{-\frac{\psi_{(0j,v_r)}+\psi_{(jk,v_r)}+\psi_{(0k,v_r)}}{\hbar}}\hbar^{r_{(0j,v_r)}+r_{(jk,v_r)}+r_{(0k,v_r)}}\chi_{v_r}(\omega_{(jk,v_r),0}\wedge\omega_{(0j,v_r),0}\wedge\frac{*\omega_{(0k,v_r),0}}{\|\phi_{0k}\|^2})\\ =\;&\hbar^{r_{(0j,v_r)}+r_{(jk,v_r)}+r_{(0k,v_r)}}e^{-\frac{A}{\hbar}}\{\int_M e^{-\frac{g_{(0j,v_r)}+g_{(jk,v_r)}+g_{(0k,v_r)}}{\hbar}}\\ &\qquad\chi_{v_r}(\omega_{(jk,v_r),0}\wedge\omega_{(0j,v_r),0}\wedge\frac{*\omega_{(0k,v_r),0}}{\|\phi_{0k}\|^2})\}\\ =\;&\pm e^{-\frac{A}{\hbar}}\hbar^{2-k}(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)),\end{aligned}$$

which mean

$$m_k^T(\hbar,\vec{\chi}_\Gamma)=\pm\hbar^{2-k}e^{-\frac{A}{\hbar}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)). \tag{3.30}$$

The sign $\pm$ comes from matching the orientation $[\nu_{(jk,v_r)}\wedge\nu_{(0j,v_r)}\wedge\nu_{(0k,v_r)}]$ against that of M, which agree with the sign in Morse category. This complete the proof of our theorem.

4. RESOLVENT ESTIMATE

In this section, we obtain rough estimate for the Green operator $G^0_{f,\hbar}$ associated to Witten Laplacian $\Delta_{f,\hbar}$, which is used in section 3.2. More precisely, we are looking at the twisted Green operator $G_{f,\hbar}=(I-P_f)G^0_{f,\hbar}$ after projecting away from space of small eigenvalues. Roughly speaking, it is an estimate of the form

$$G_f(x,y)\sim\mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{\rho_f(x,y)}{\hbar}}) \tag{4.1}$$

for the kernel function on $M\times M$. To begin with, we recall some of our setting from section 2. We restrict to the case of a single Morse function

$f : M \to \mathbb{R}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} d_{f,\hbar} &:= e^{-f/\hbar}\hbar d e^{f/\hbar} = \hbar d + df\wedge, \text{and} \\ d^*_{f,\hbar} &:= e^{f/\hbar}\hbar d^* e^{-f/\hbar} = \hbar d^* + \iota_{\nabla f}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hbar$ is a small positive real number. The twisted Laplace operator can be defined as

$$\Delta_{f,\hbar} := d_{f,\hbar}d^*_{f,\hbar} + d^*_{f,\hbar}d_{f,\hbar}.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_f &= \hbar^2\Delta + \hbar(\mathcal{L}_{\nabla f} + \mathcal{L}^*_{\nabla f}) + |\nabla f|^2 \\ &= \hbar^2\Delta + \hbar M_f + |\nabla f|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla f}$ is the Lie derivative and $M_f = \mathcal{L}_{\nabla f} + \mathcal{L}^*_{\nabla f}$ is a tensor on M.

The eigenvalues in the interval $I(\hbar) = [0, \hbar^{3/2})$ are called small eigenvalues. The corresponding finite dimensional eigenspace is denoted by $\Omega(M,\hbar)^*_{sm}$. We consider the twisted Green operator $G = (I - P_f)G_0$ which is the ordinary Green operator after projecting away from small eigenspace. The main result we have in this section is

Proposition 33. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $h_0(\epsilon)$ such that*

$$G_{f,\hbar} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{\rho_f(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}})$$

for all $h < h_0$, where ρ_f is the Agmon metric associated to the Morse function f.

To really explain what the above notation stand for, we recall from [6] the following

Definition 34. *Let $A = A_\hbar : L^2(M) \to W^{1,2}(M)$ be a family of bounded operators with $\hbar \in (0,1]$. We say the $A = \hat{\mathcal{O}}(e^{-\frac{f(x,y)}{\hbar}})$ for a continuous $f \in C^0(M \times M; \mathbb{R})$, if for any x_0, $y_0 \in M$, there exist neighborhoods V and U in M of x_0 and y_0 and a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|Au\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq Ce^{-\frac{f(x,y)}{\hbar}}\|u\|_{L^2(U)}$$

for all $\hbar$ small enough and $u \in L^2(M)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} u \subset U$.

Remark 35. *We will use subscript on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\cdot$, if we want to emphasize what the constant C depends on.*

Remark 36. *If $A = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{f(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}})$ and $B = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{g(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}})$ for all ϵ small enough, then we have*

$$B \circ A = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{h(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}})$$

for all ϵ small enough, where

$$h(x,y) = \min_{z\in M}(g(x,z) + f(z,y))$$

Remark 37. *For convenience, we write* $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(e^{-f(x,y)/\hbar}+e^{-g(x,y)/\hbar})$ *to stand for* $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(e^{-(\min_{x,y}\{f(x,y),g(x,y)\})/\hbar})$.

Remark 38. *We will use the same notation for a family of operators from* $L^2(M)$ *to* $L^2(M)$ *as well.*

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof. In this section, we will drop the dependence of $\hbar$ in our notations for simplicity. The skills are same as that in [6]. We will make use following equality as a tool for various kind of estimate

Lemma 39. *Let* $\Omega \subset M$ *be a domain with smooth boundary. If* $v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}, \wedge^* T_{\bar{\Omega}})$ *with* $v|_{\partial\Omega}=0$ *and* $\psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re}\langle e^{2\psi/\hbar}\Delta_f v, v\rangle &= \hbar^2(\|d(e^{\psi/h}v)\|^2+\|d^*(e^{\psi/h}v)\|^2)+ \\ &\quad \langle(|df|^2-|d\psi|^2+\hbar M_f)e^{\psi/\hbar}v, e^{\psi/\hbar}v\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Suppose C^* be the set of critical points of f, we let $B(p,\eta)$ be the open ball centered at p with radius η with respect to the Agmon metric. Then we define

$$\hat{M}_p := M\backslash \bigcup_{q\neq p, q\in C^*} B(q,\eta).$$

Hence p is the only critical point of f in $\hat{M}_p$. We further fix $\delta>0$ and define

$$\hat{M}_{p,0} := \hat{M}_p\backslash B(p,\delta),$$

and so there is no critical point of f in $\hat{M}_{p,0}$. We use G_p and $G_{p,0}$ to stand for the twisted Green operator on $\hat{M}_p$ and $\hat{M}_{p,0}$ respectively, aftering projecting away from small eigenspace. We first have the corresponding result for $G_{p,0}$ which is

Lemma 40. *For* $\epsilon>0$, *there exists* $\hbar_0=\hbar_0(\epsilon,\eta,\delta)>0$, *such that*

$$G_{p,0}=\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta,\delta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}),$$

for those $\hbar<\hbar_0$.

Proof. It follows from result in [6], since there is no small eigenvalue in $\hat{M}_{p,0}$. □

Next, we let θ_p and $\hat{\theta}_p$ be functions on M such that

$$\theta_p \equiv 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \overline{B(p,\delta)} \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}\theta_p \subset B(p,2\delta), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_p \equiv 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \overline{B(p,2\delta)} \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}\hat{\theta}_p \subset B(p,4\delta). \tag{4.5}$$

We will use these functions to cut off the Green operator and look at them one by one. First we have

Lemma 41. *For all small* $\epsilon>0$, *there exists* $\hbar_0=\hbar_0(\epsilon,\eta,\delta)>0$, *such that*

$$G_p\hat{\theta}_p=\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta,\delta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,p)-4\delta-\epsilon}{\hbar}}),$$

for those $\hbar<\hbar_0$.

Proof. Fixing two points x_0, $y_0 \in \hat{M}_p$ with $V = B(x_0, \epsilon)$ and $U = B(y_0, \epsilon)$. We consider the relation $v = G_p \hat{\theta}_p u$ with $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega^*(U))$. Putting $\psi(x) = (1-\epsilon)\rho(x,p)$ into the equality (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\hbar^2(\|d(e^{\psi/\hbar}v)\|^2 + \|d^*(e^{\psi/\hbar}v)\|^2) + \hbar\langle M_f e^{\psi/\hbar}v, e^{\psi/\hbar}v\rangle + \\ &\langle((2-\epsilon)\epsilon|df|^2)e^{\psi/\hbar}v, e^{\psi/\hbar}v\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle(I-P_p)\hat{\theta}_p u, e^{2\psi/\hbar}v\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

There exists $m = m(\epsilon, \eta, \delta) > 0$ such that $|df|^2 \geq m\epsilon$ in $\hat{M}_{p,0}$ and hence we have

$$\langle((2-\epsilon)\epsilon|df|^2)e^{\psi/\hbar}v, e^{\psi/\hbar}v\rangle \geq \frac{3}{2}m\epsilon^2\|e^{\psi/\hbar}v\|^2_{\hat{M}_{p,0}}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\hbar^2(\|d(e^{\psi/\hbar}v)\|^2 + \|d^*(e^{\psi/\hbar}v)\|^2) + m\epsilon^2\|e^{\psi/\hbar}v\|^2_{M_0} \\ \leq\ & \mathrm{Re}\langle(I-P_p)\hat{\theta}_p u, e^{2\psi/\hbar}v\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since the term $\hbar\langle M_f e^{\psi/\hbar}v, e^{\psi/\hbar}v\rangle$ can be absorbed by taking $\hbar$ small enough. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2c}\|e^{\psi/\hbar}(I-P_p)\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2 + m\epsilon^2\|e^{\psi/\hbar}v\|^2_{B(p,\delta)} \\ \geq\ & h^2(\|d(e^{\psi/\hbar}v)\|^2 + \|d^*(e^{\psi/\hbar}v)\|^2) + \tilde{c}\|e^{\psi/\hbar}v\|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for some $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(\epsilon, \eta, \delta) > 0$, if $\hbar$ and c are small enough (both depending on ϵ, η, δ).

Since $\|G_p\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,L^2)} \leq C_\epsilon e^{\epsilon/\hbar}$ for arbitrary ϵ due to the fact that small eigenvalue are taken away, we have

$$\|v\|^2_{B(p,\delta)} \leq C_\epsilon e^{2\epsilon/\hbar}\|\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2 \leq C_\epsilon e^{2\epsilon/\hbar}\|u\|^2.$$

As $\psi(x) \leq (1-\epsilon)\delta$ in $B(p,\delta)$ and $\operatorname{supp} u \subset U$, we get

$$m\epsilon^2\|e^{\psi/\hbar}v\|^2_{B(p,\delta)} \leq Ce^{(2(1-\epsilon)\delta+2\epsilon)/\hbar}\|u\|^2_U \tag{4.7}$$

by replacing another constant $C = C(\epsilon, \eta, \delta)$. The next term to be controlled will be

$$\|e^{\psi/\hbar}(I-P_p)\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2.$$

As $\operatorname{supp}\hat{\theta} \subset B(p, 4\delta)$ and $\operatorname{supp} u \subset U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{\psi/\hbar}(I-P_p)\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2 &\leq \|e^{\psi/\hbar}\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2_{B(p,4\delta)\cap U} + \|e^{\psi/\hbar}\langle\hat{\theta}_p u, \varphi_p\rangle\varphi_p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|e^{\psi/\hbar}\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2_{B(p,4\delta)\cap U} + \|\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2\|e^{\psi/\hbar}\varphi_p\|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where φ_p's is the unique (as $\hat{M}_p$ has only one critical point p) corresponding to small eigenvalue of Δ_p. We make use of the fact about the eigenform φ_p in lemma 3.2 which says

$$\varphi_p = \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(e^{-(\rho(p,x)-\epsilon)/\hbar})$$

for arbitrary ϵ and get

$$\|e^{\psi/\hbar}(I-P_p)\hat{\theta}_p u\|^2 \leq \tilde{C}e^{\frac{8\delta(1-\epsilon)+2\epsilon}{\hbar}}\|u\|_U^2$$

for some constant $\tilde{C}$. Combining (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), we have

$$\|e^{\psi/\hbar}dv\| + \|e^{\psi/\hbar}d^*v\| + \|e^{\psi/\hbar}v\| \leq Ce^{(4\delta(1-\epsilon)+\epsilon)/\hbar}\|u\|_U.$$

Finally, we have an estimate for ψ in V and get

$$e^{(1-\epsilon)(\rho(x_0,p)-\epsilon)/\hbar}\|v\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq Ce^{(4\delta(1-\epsilon)+\epsilon)/\hbar}\|u\|_{L^2(U)},$$

which is the desired result by choosing a suitable ϵ to start with. □

We can have the decomposition

$$G_p = (I-P_p)(1-\theta_p)G_{p,0}(1-\hat{\theta}_p) + G_p\hat{\theta}_p + G_p\hat{\theta}_p[\Delta_f,\theta_p]G_{p,0}(1-\hat{\theta}_p), \tag{4.9}$$

which can be checked by taking Δ_p to both sides of the equation. Combining lemma 40, 41 and (4.9), we have

Lemma 42. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon,\eta) > 0$, such that*

$$G_p = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}),$$

for $\hbar < \hbar_0$.

Proof. We will estimate the right hand side of (4.9) term by term. From lemma 41 we have

$$(1-\theta_p)G_{p,0}(1-\hat{\theta}_p) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta,\delta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}).$$

Making use of lemma 3.2, we see that

$$P_p = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}})$$

for small ϵ. Using remark 36 and triangle inequality, we get the desired estimate for the first term. For the second term, recall from lemma 41 that

$$G_p\hat{\theta}_p = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta,\delta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,p_j)-4\delta}{\hbar}}).$$

For the operator to be non-trivial, we need the support of input to intersect $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\theta}_p)$. Therefore we can have

$$G_p\hat{\theta}_p = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta,\delta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-8\delta-\epsilon}{\hbar}}).$$

Finally, we have

$$[\Delta_f,\theta]G_{p,0}(1-\hat{\theta}_p) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta,\delta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}})$$

as an operator from $L^2(\hat{M}_p)$ to itself. We use remark 36 to obtain estimate for the last term. To finish the proof, we may choose suitable δ and ϵ to obtain the desired statement. □

Now, we move to the estimate of G on the whole manifold M. We are going to use various cut off functions to relate it to G_p on $\hat{M}_p$. We let ϑ_p be functions on M such that

$$\vartheta_p \equiv 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \overline{B(p,\eta)} \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}\vartheta_p \subset B(p,2\eta).$$

We define two set of cut off functions, one of them is given by

$$\chi_p = 1 - \sum_{q\neq p} \vartheta_q.$$

Another one is $\tilde{\chi}_p \in C_0^\infty(\text{int } \hat{M}_p)$ which is independent from η and satisfies

$$\sum_j \tilde{\chi}_j = 1.$$

If η is small enough, we can assume

$$\operatorname{supp}\tilde{\chi}_p \cap \operatorname{supp}(1-\chi_p) = \emptyset.$$

We first take an approximation $G_{app} : L^2(\wedge^* T^*_M) \to W^{1,2}(\wedge^* T^*_M)$ defined by

$$G_{app} = (I - P_f)\sum_p \chi_p G_p \tilde{\chi}_p. \tag{4.10}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_f G_{app} &= (I-P)\left(\sum_p \Delta_f \chi_p G_p \tilde{\chi}_p\right) \\
&= (I-P)\left(I - \sum_p \chi_p P_p \tilde{\chi}_p - \sum_{p\neq q}[\Delta_f, \vartheta_q] G_p \tilde{\chi}_p\right) \\
&= (I-P)\left(I - (I-P)\sum_j \chi_p P_p \tilde{\chi}_p - \sum_{p\neq q}[\Delta_f, \vartheta_q] G_p \tilde{\chi}_p\right) \\
&= \Delta_f G(I - L - K),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = (I-P)\sum_p \chi_p P_p \tilde{\chi}_p \text{ and } K = \sum_{p\neq q}[\Delta_f, \vartheta_q] G_p \tilde{\chi}_p.$$

Notice that images of operators G_{app} and $G(I-L-K)$ are orthogonal to the kernel of Δ_f, therefore we have

$$G_{app} = G(I - L - K).$$

We can express G in term of G_{app} if we are able to invert $(I-L-K)$.

By checking the convergence of the series, we define

$$\hat{K} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} K^j, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\hat{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (L(I+\hat{K}))^j), \tag{4.12}$$

and we can write

$$G = G_{app}(I+\hat{K})(I+\hat{L}). \tag{4.13}$$

We are going to estimate each term on the right hand side and obtain an estimate of G. First, we have

$$G_{app} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}). \tag{4.14}$$

Next, we look at the operator

$$K = \sum_{p \neq q} [\Delta_f, \vartheta_q] G_p \tilde{\chi}_p : L^2(\wedge^* T^*_M) \to L^2(\wedge^* T^*_M).$$

Applying lemma 42 to G_p, we obtain

$$K = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}).$$

If we look at the second term in $\hat{K}$,

$$K^2 = \sum_{p_0 \neq p_1,\, p_1 \neq p_2} [\Delta_f, \vartheta_{p_0}] \cdot (G_{p_1}[\Delta_f, \vartheta_{p_1}]) \cdot G_{p_2} \tilde{\chi}_{p_2},$$

by applying lemma 42 to G_{p_1} and G_{p_2} with U and V having diameter less than ϵ, we obtain

$$K^2 = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\frac{\min_z(\rho(x,z)+\rho(z,y))-2\epsilon}{\hbar}}).$$

If we further taking $\mathrm{supp}(\vartheta_{p_1})$ into account, we have

$$K^2 = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,p_1)+\rho(p_1,y)-4\eta-4\epsilon}{\hbar}}).$$

In general, for $l \geq 2$, we have

$$K^l = \sum_{p_0 \neq p_1, \cdots, p_{l-1} \neq p_l} [\Delta_f, \vartheta_{p_0}] \cdot (G_{p_1}[\Delta_f, \vartheta_{p_1}]) \cdots (G_{p_{l-1}}[\Delta_f, \vartheta_{p_{l-1}}]) \cdot G_{p_l} \tilde{\chi}_{p_l},$$

and similarly we get

$$K^l = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}\Big(\sum_{p_1 \neq p_1, \cdots, p_{l-2} \neq p_{l-1}} e^{-\frac{\rho(x,p_1)+\rho(p_1,p_2)+\cdots+\rho(p_{l-1},y)-4(l-1)\eta-(3l-2)\epsilon}{\hbar}}\Big). \tag{4.15}$$

To summarize, we let D be the matrix defined by

$$D_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ e^{-\rho(p,q)/\hbar} & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

and the column vector $T(x)$ given by

$$T_p(x) = (e^{-\rho(p,x)/\hbar}).$$

Then we rewrite (4.15) and get

$$K^l = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}\Big(e^{\frac{4(l-1)\eta+(3l-2)\epsilon}{\hbar}} T(x)^t \cdot D^{l-2} \cdot T(y)\Big). \tag{4.16}$$

Since there are only N critical points, for $M \geq N$, we have an entry-wise inequality

$$D^M \leq e^{-2T_0/\hbar} C_M (I + D + \cdots + D^{N-1}) \tag{4.17}$$

for some C_M, where $T_0 = \min_{p\neq q} \rho(p,q)$. We can absorb the error terms involving $e^{\frac{4(l-1)\eta}{\hbar}}$ and $e^{\frac{(3l-2)\epsilon}{\hbar}}$ into the decay term $e^{-2T_0/\hbar}$ to show convergence for $\hat{K}$. Combining (4.11), (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain

$$\hat{K} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}) \tag{4.18}$$

for any ϵ small enough.

Now, it comes to the estimate of the term L. We learn from [6] that

$$(I-P)\chi_p\varphi_p = \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,\eta}(\sum_{q\neq p} e^{-\frac{\rho(x,q)+\rho(q,p)-4\eta-2\epsilon}{\hbar}}), \tag{4.19}$$

where φ_p is the unique eigenform of Δ_p on $\hat{M}_p$. Therefore, we get

$$L = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\epsilon,\eta}(\sum_{q\neq p} e^{-\frac{\rho(x,q)+\rho(q,p)+\rho(p,y)-4\eta-3\epsilon}{\hbar}}). \tag{4.20}$$

Similar to the $\hat{K}$ case, we have the series in (4.12) converge and have

$$\hat{L} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}), \tag{4.21}$$

by choosing suitable η and ϵ to start with.

Finally, combining the estimates in (4.14), (4.18), (4.21) and applying to formula (4.13), we conclude that

$$G = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\epsilon(e^{-\frac{\rho(x,y)-\epsilon}{\hbar}}). \tag{4.22}$$

This completed the proof.

We want to improve the above statement to L^∞ norm estimate. This can be done by having higher derivatives estimate using the same argument as above, and applying Sobolev embedding. Therefore, We have

Lemma 43. *For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\hbar_0 = \hbar_0(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any two point $x_0, y_0 \in M$, there exist neighborhood V and U (depending on ϵ) of x_0 and y_0 respectively, and $C_{j,\epsilon} > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla^j (G_{ij} u)\|_{L^\infty(V)} \leq C_{j,\epsilon} e^{-(\rho(x_0,y_0)-\epsilon)/\hbar} \|u\|_{W^{k_j,2}(U)}, \tag{4.23}$$

for all $\hbar < \hbar_0$ and $u \in C_c^0(U)$. Here $W^{k,p}$ refer to the Sobolev norm.

5. The WKB approximation

In the previous section, we have a rough estimate for the twisted Green operator, with an error of order $\mathcal{O}(e^{\frac{\epsilon}{\hbar}})$. In this section, we are going to improve it to $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$. This is done by the WKB method for inhomogeneous Laplace equation (3.20) which solve the PDE by solving ODEs iteratively according to order of $\hbar$.



According to the above figure, we let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function and $\gamma(t)$ be a flow line of $\frac{\nabla f}{\|\nabla f\|_f}$ such that $\gamma(0) = v_S$ and $\gamma(T) = v_E$ for a fixed $T > 0$. We consider an input form ζ_S defined in a neighborhood W_S of v_S. Suppose we are given a WKB approximation of ζ_S in W_S, which is an approximation of ζ_S according to order of $\hbar$ of the form

$$\zeta_S \sim e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}(\omega_{S,0} + \omega_{S,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \omega_{S,2}\hbar^1 + \dots). \tag{5.1}$$

We consider the relation

$$\zeta_E = H_f(\chi_S \zeta_S).$$

we would like to obtain a similar expression

$$\zeta_E \sim e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}(\omega_{E,0} + \omega_{E,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots), \tag{5.2}$$

of v_E in a small neighborhood W_E containing it. The key step is finding a suitable function ψ_E, which is given in the following subsection.

5.1. **Exponential decay of solution.** We let $g_S = \psi_S - f$ and assume $g_S : W_S \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a Bott-Morse function with zero set V_S such that $v_S \in V_S$. We define

$$\tilde{\psi}(x) := \inf_{y \in W_S} \{\psi_S(y) + \rho_f(y, x)\},$$

where $\rho = \rho_f$ is the distance function associated to the Agmon metric with respect to f.

Remark 44. *$\tilde{\psi}$ is the expected decay obtained from the a priori estimate of the homotopy operator H. This essentially comes from the resolvent estimate in section 4.*

We apply variational method to study the function $\tilde{\psi}(x)$. Fixing $x \in M$, we take $\alpha : (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \times [0,1] \to M$ such that $\alpha_0(t) := \alpha(0,t)$ and $\alpha_\epsilon(1) = x$ for all ϵ. We try to minimize the functional

$$L(\epsilon) = \psi_S(\alpha_\epsilon(0)) + \int_0^1 \|\partial_t \alpha_\epsilon\|_f dt.$$

Taking derivatives gives

$$\frac{dL}{d\epsilon} = \langle \nabla \psi_S(\alpha_\epsilon(0)), \partial_\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon(0) \rangle + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\|\partial_t \alpha\|_f} \langle \tilde{\nabla}_t \partial_\epsilon \alpha, \partial_t \alpha \rangle_f dt, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ is the inner product with respect to the Agmon metric and $\tilde{\nabla}_t$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to the Agmon metric. If we assume α_0 is a geodesic and parametrized propositional to arc-length. Then, the equation for critical point of $L(\epsilon)$ gives

$$\left.\frac{dL}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} = \langle \nabla \psi_S(\alpha_0(0)) - \frac{\alpha_0'(0)}{\|\alpha_0'(0)\|} \|\nabla f(\alpha_0(0))\|, \partial_\epsilon \alpha(0,0) \rangle = 0,$$

which is the equation for critical point of the functional $L(\epsilon)$ if α_0 is not constant geodesic. Since $\partial_\epsilon \alpha(0,0)$ can be arbitrarily chosen, we have

$$\nabla \psi_S(\alpha_0(0)) - \frac{\alpha_0'(0)}{\|\alpha_0'(0)\|} \|\nabla f(\alpha_0(0))\| = 0.$$

Taking norm we obtain the equation

$$\|\nabla \psi_S\| = \|\nabla f\|,$$

or equivalently, $\|\tilde{\nabla} \psi_S\|_f = 1$. Therefore, we define

$$U_S = \{|\tilde{\nabla} \psi_S|_f = 1\} \cap W_S.$$

Since $g_S(p) = 0$ for $p \in V_S$ and $g_S \geq 0$, we have $\nabla g_S(p) = 0$. This implies $\|\nabla \psi_S(p)\| = \|\nabla f(p)\|$ and so $V_S \subset U_S$.

Lemma 45. *U_S is a hypersurface in a neighborhood of V_S.*

Proof. Equivalently, U_S can be defined by the equation

$$\Phi(x) = 2\langle \nabla f(x), \nabla g_S(x) \rangle + |\nabla g_S(x)|^2 = 0.$$

If $v \in T_p M$ where $p \in V_S$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v \Phi(p) &= 2\nabla^2 f(p)(v, \nabla g_S(p)) + 2\nabla^2 g_S(p)(v, \nabla f(p)) + 2\nabla^2 g_S(p)(v, \nabla g_S(p)) \\ &= 2\nabla^2 g_S(p)(v, \nabla f(p)), \end{aligned}$$

since $\nabla g_S(p) = 0$ on V_S. As g_S is a Bott-Morse function with critical set V_S, $\nabla^2 g_S(p)$ is nondegenerated restricted on the subspace of $T_p M$ which is orthogonal to $T_p V_S$. Therefore, there exists v such that $\nabla_v \Phi(p) \neq 0$. □

We shrink W_S such that U_S is an hypersurface and denote

$$\nu = \frac{\nabla \psi_S}{\|\nabla \psi_S\|_f},$$

when it is restricted on U_S. We then define a map

$$\sigma : W_0 \subset U_S \times (-\infty, +\infty) \to M, \tag{5.4}$$

given by $\sigma(u,t) = \exp_y(t\nu)$, where W_0 is a suitable neighborhood of γ where the exponential map avoids critical points of f and hence is well defined.

Remark 46. *We have $\nabla g_S(p) = 0$ for all $p \in V_S$, and hence*

$$\nu(p) = \frac{\nabla f(p)}{\|\nabla f(p)\|_f}$$

for all $p \in V_S$.

We intend to show σ, when restricted to a small open neighborhood of geodesic γ, is a diffeomorphism onto its image. If so, then we are able to define

$$\psi_E(u,t) = \psi_E(\sigma(u,t)) = \psi_S(u) + t.$$

This is achieved by showing there is no "conjugate point" along $\gamma(t)$ for certain type of geodesic family, which is an analogue to the classical argument in Riemannian geometry.

5.2. **Smoothness of ψ_E.** We consider the functional L as before in the last section, but here we let $\alpha : (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \times [0,l] \to M$ to be the variation such that $\alpha_0(t)$ is arc-length parametrized geodesic satisfying the condition

$$\tilde{\nabla} \psi_S(\alpha(0,0)) - \frac{\partial_t \alpha}{\|\partial_t \alpha\|_f}(0,0) \equiv 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{dL}{d\epsilon} = \langle \tilde{\nabla} \psi_S(\alpha_\epsilon(0)), \partial_\epsilon \alpha_\epsilon(0) \rangle_f + \int_0^l \langle \tilde{\nabla}_t \partial_\epsilon \alpha, \frac{\partial_t \alpha}{\|\partial_t \alpha\|_f} \rangle_f \, ds.$$

We derive the second variational formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 L}{d\epsilon^2}\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} &= \langle \tilde{\nabla}_\epsilon \tilde{\nabla} \psi_S, \partial_\epsilon \alpha \rangle_f|_{t=0} + \langle \tilde{\nabla} \psi_S, \tilde{\nabla}_\epsilon \partial_\epsilon \alpha \rangle_f|_{t=0} + \langle \tilde{\nabla}_\epsilon \partial_\epsilon \alpha, \partial_t \alpha \rangle_f \Big|_0^l \\ &+ \int_0^l \langle \tilde{\nabla}_t \partial_\epsilon \alpha, \tilde{\nabla}_t \partial_\epsilon \alpha \rangle_f + \langle \tilde{R}(\partial_\epsilon \alpha, \partial_t \alpha) \partial_\epsilon \alpha, \partial_t \alpha \rangle_f - \langle \tilde{\nabla}_t \partial_\epsilon \alpha, \partial_t \alpha \rangle_f^2 \, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where the right hand side is evaluated at $\epsilon = 0$. Here $\tilde{R}$ is the curvature tensor under the Agmon metric with respect to f. If we further impose the

condition that $\alpha(\epsilon,0)\in U_S$ and $\partial_\epsilon\alpha(\epsilon,l)\equiv 0$ for all ϵ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(5.6)\quad \left.\frac{d^2L}{d\epsilon^2}\right|_{\epsilon=0} &= \langle\tilde{\nabla}_\epsilon\tilde{\nabla}\psi_S,\partial_\epsilon\alpha\rangle_f|_{t=0}\\ &+\int_0^l \langle\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon\alpha\rangle_f+\langle\tilde{R}(\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\partial_t\alpha)\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f-\langle\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f^2\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we consider the quadratic form $I(X,Y)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(5.7)\quad I(X,Y) &= \tilde{\nabla}^2\psi_S(X,Y)(0)+\int_0^l\langle\tilde{R}(X,\partial_t\alpha)X,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f\\ &+\int_0^l\langle\tilde{\nabla}_tX-\langle\tilde{\nabla}_tX,\partial_s\alpha\rangle_f\partial_t\alpha,\tilde{\nabla}_tY-\langle\tilde{\nabla}_tY,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f,\end{aligned}$$

for vector fields X,Y on α_0, satisfying $X(0)$, $Y(0)\in TU_S$, $X(l)=0=Y(l)$. Conversely, we can find a family of curve α_ϵ satisfying the assumptions $\alpha(\epsilon,0)\in U_S$ and $\partial_\epsilon\alpha(\epsilon,l)\equiv 0$, with $\partial_\epsilon\alpha=X$ for any such vector field X. The same holds for piecewise smooth vector field with the same initial condition.

Fixing any $t_0>0$, we have $d\sigma_{(v_S,t_0)}(0,\frac{\partial}{\partial t})=\gamma'(t)$. Now, we have to compute $d\sigma_{(v_S,t_0)}(v,0)$ for $(v,0)\in T_{(v_S,t_0)}(W_0)$. Taking a curve $\beta(\epsilon)$ in U_S with $\beta(0)=v_S$ and $\beta'(0)=v$, we can construct a family of arc-length parametrized geodesic α_ϵ by taking exponential map

$$\alpha(\epsilon,t)=\exp_{\beta(\epsilon)}(t\nu).$$

It will give the correspondence $\partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t)=d\sigma_{(v_S,t)}(v,0)$ with $\partial_\epsilon\alpha$ being a Jacobi field on α_0. We need to argue that $\partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t_0)$ can never be parallel to $\partial_s\alpha(0,t_0)$ for $v\neq 0$. Suppose the contrary, then we must have $\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t_0)\neq 0$, otherwise we have $\partial_\epsilon\alpha\equiv c\partial_s\alpha$ for some constant c. We argue that we can construct a path from U_S to the point $\sigma(v_S,t_0+\delta)$ which gives a smaller value of L comparing to the geodesic γ from v_S to the point $\sigma(v_S,t_0+\delta)$. We will denote $l=t_0+\delta$ to fit our previous discussion.

Notice that we have $\langle\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f\equiv 0$, from the fact $\langle\partial_t\alpha,\partial_t\alpha\rangle\equiv 1$. Therefore we have $\langle\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f\equiv c(\epsilon)$ which is a function depends on ϵ only. We take a vector field $Z(t)$ such that $Z(0)=0$, $Z(l)=0$, $\langle Z,\partial_t\rangle_f\equiv 0$ on $[t,l]$ and $Z(t)=-\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon(0,t_0)$. For some constant $\eta>0$, we construct

$$Y_\eta(t):=\begin{cases}\partial_\epsilon\alpha+\eta Z & \text{if } t\in[0,t_0],\\ \chi\langle\partial_\epsilon\alpha,\partial_t\alpha\rangle_f\partial_t\alpha+\eta Z & \text{if } t\in[t_0,l],\end{cases}$$

where χ is a cutoff function in $[t_0,l]$ with $\chi(t_0)=1$ and $\chi=0$ in neighborhood of l. Y_η is a continuous vector field and we have

$$I(Y_\eta,Y_\eta)=-2\eta|\tilde{\nabla}_t\partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t_0)|_f^2+2\eta^2I(Z,Z).$$

We can have $I(Y_\eta, Y_\eta) < 0$ for η small enough. By taking the family of curves β_ϵ corresponding to Y_η, we will obtain

$$\left.\frac{d^2 L_\beta}{d\epsilon^2}\right|_{\epsilon=0} < 0,$$

where $L_\beta(\epsilon) = L(\beta(\epsilon))$. Then $\beta_\epsilon(t)$ will be a curve from U_S to $\sigma(0,l)$ which gives a smaller value of L comparing to $\beta_0 = \gamma$. This is impossible because we have

$$L_\beta(\epsilon) \geq f(\sigma(0,l))$$

and the lower bound is attained on γ. As a conclusion, we can assume that σ gives a diffeomorphism onto its image by shrinking W_0 if necessary. Now, we can define

$$\psi_E(\sigma(y,t)) = \psi_S(y) + t, \tag{5.8}$$

which is a smooth function. Under the identification σ, we use the coordinate $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ for U_S and use $(u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t)$, or simply (u,t), as coordinate for image of W_0 under σ. We further required W_0 satisfying the property that $\{u\} \times [-t_0, t] \subset W_0$ for any point $(u,t) \in W_0$, with some t_0 small enough. This means every point (u,t) in W_0 can flow backward for time $t + t_0$ by the vector field $\tilde{\nabla}\psi_E$.

5.3. **Properties of ψ_E.** We are going to study the first and second derivatives of ψ_E which is necessary for having a WKB approximation for the equation (3.20). We will use same notations as in previous subsection and write $\sigma_s(y,t) = \sigma(y, t+s)$. We can assume $\psi_E \geq \tilde{\psi}_E$ by shrinking W_0 if necessary.

We define

$$V_E := \bigcup_{-\infty<t<+\infty} \sigma_t(V_S) \cap W_0 \subset W_0$$

as shown in the following picture.



Lemma 47. *In W_0, we have*

$$\tilde{\nabla}\psi_E = d\sigma_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

In particular, we have $\nabla\psi_E = \nabla f$ on V_E and $\|\nabla\psi_E\| = \|\nabla f\|$.

Proof. We construct a family of geodesics α_ϵ using exponential map as before. Let $\beta(\epsilon)$ be a curve in U_S such that $\beta(0) = u$. Also, we let

$$\alpha(\epsilon, t) = \exp_{\beta(\epsilon)}(t\nu) = \sigma(\beta(\epsilon), t).$$

Then α_ϵ is a minimizer of the functional L for each ϵ. Applying the first variation again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\nabla}\psi_E(\alpha(0,t)), \partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t)\rangle_f &= \left.\frac{dL}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}. \\ &= \langle \partial_t\alpha(0,t), \partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t)\rangle_f \end{aligned}$$

As $\partial_\epsilon\alpha(0,t)$ can be arbitrarily chosen, we get

$$\tilde{\nabla}\psi_E(u,t) = \partial_t\alpha(0,t) = d\sigma_{(u,t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Furthermore, we have $\|\tilde{\nabla}\psi_E(u,t)\|_f^2 = \|d\sigma_{(u,t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\|_f^2 = 1$ which gives $\|\tilde{\nabla}\psi_E(u,t)\| = \|\nabla f\|$. As we know $\nabla\psi_S = \nabla f$ on V_S and flow line of ∇f are geodesic after reparametrization, we get $\nabla\psi_E = \nabla f$ on V_E. □

We now consider the second order derivatives of $g_E = \psi_E - f$.

Lemma 48. *g_E is a Bott-Morse function with critical set V_E which is also a local minimum.*

Proof. The previous lemma implies that $\nabla g_E = 0$ on V_E. We are going to show $\nabla^2 g_E$ is positive definite in the normal bundle of V_E. Fixing any $t \in [0,T]$, we consider the submanifold $U_t = \sigma(U_S, t) \cap W_0$. There is an isomorphism between the normal bundle of $V_t = \sigma(V_S, t)$ in U_t and normal bundle of V_E in W_0. Therefore we restrict g_E to U_t and consider its Hessian.

We abuse the notation and write $u : W_0 \to U_S$ be the projection map. We take $h((u,t)) = g_E((u,t)) - g_S(u)$ for $(u,t) \in U_t$. We have $h(u,t) \geq 0$ on U_t and $\nabla h = 0 = h$ on V_t. Therefore we have h is positive semi-definite on the normal bundle of V_t in U_t. Moreover, we have $\nabla^2(g_S \circ u) = (\nabla^2 g_S) \circ u$ being positive definite in the normal bundle. These combine to show that $\nabla^2 g_E$ is positive definite in normal bundle of V_t in U_t.

Finally, the lemma can be achieved by choosing a small enough t_0 and W_0 since positive definiteness is an open condition. □

By choosing a smaller W_0, we may assume $g_E \geq 0$ on W_0 with absolute minimum V_E. Next, we consider the second order derivatives for $\Psi = \psi_E - \psi_S$.

Lemma 49. *$\Psi = \psi_E - \psi_S = g_E - g_S$ is a Bott-Morse function with local maximum U_S in a small neighborhood W_S of v_S. We can further have $\Psi \leq 0$ on W_S by choosing a small enough W_S.*

Proof. We first have $\nabla\Psi = 0$ on U_S because $\nabla\psi_E = \nabla\psi_S$ on U_S. If we consider $\nabla^2\Psi(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$ on V_S, then we have $\nabla^2 g_E(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = 0$ and $\nabla^2 g_S(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) > 0$. Therefore, there exists an neighborhood U of V_S in H so that

$$\nabla^2\Psi(x)(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) < 0$$

for all $x \in U$. □

Remark 50. *We can extend the function Ψ from W_S to W_0 to be a non-negative function with critical set U_S which is also an absolute maximum. This is for our convenience in later argument.*

5.4. **The WKB iteration.** After knowing the essential properties of ψ_E, we will describe the iteration procedure to define $\omega_{E,i}$ inductively. First, by lemma 47, we have $|df|^2 = |d\psi_E|^2$ and hence the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\Delta_f e^{-\psi_E/\hbar} &= \hbar^2\Delta + \hbar M_f + \hbar(\mathcal{L}_{\nabla\psi_E} - \mathcal{L}^*_{\nabla\psi_E}) \\ &= \hbar^2\Delta + \hbar(2\mathcal{L}_{\nabla\psi_E} - M_{g_E}), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{g_E} = \mathcal{L}_{\nabla g_E} + \mathcal{L}^*_{\nabla g_E}$. Following [7], we let

$$\mathcal{T} = 2\mathcal{L}_{\nabla\psi_E} - M_{g_E},$$

and consider the following equation

$$(\hbar^2\Delta + \mathcal{T}\hbar)(\mu_0(\hbar) + \mu_1(\hbar) + \cdots) = e^{\Psi/\hbar}\nu,$$

order by order in $\hbar$ where $\mu_i(\hbar)$ is a function depending on $\hbar$. The first equation to be solved is

$$\hbar\mathcal{T}\mu_0(\hbar) = e^{\Psi/\hbar}\nu. \tag{5.9}$$

In order to solve the above equation involving $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla\psi_E}$, we need a map τ describing flow of $\nabla\psi_E$. It is given by renormalising σ such that $d\tau_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = \nabla\psi_E$ and is of the form

$$\tau : W \subset U_S \times (-\infty, +\infty) \to M, \tag{5.10}$$

with the same image as σ. We use $(u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t)$ as coordinate of $U_S \times \mathbb{R}$ as before and use it for coordinates of image as well from now on. We can assume that W also satisfy the property that $\{u\} \times [-t_0, t] \subset W$ for any $(u, t) \in W$ under the identification by τ, by choosing smaller t_0 if necessary.

For the iteration process, we restrict our attention to $\Omega^*_0(W)$, which refers to those differential forms supported in $\{u\} \times (-\frac{t_0}{2}, +\infty)$ for all u, under the identification τ in (5.10). This allows us to define the iteration operator $I : \Omega^*_0(W) \to \Omega^*_0(W)$ by

$$I(\phi) := \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_s^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})\, d\epsilon}\, \tau_s^*(\phi) ds, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\tau_s(u, t) = (u, t + s)$ is the flow of $\nabla\psi_E$ for time s.

To solve the first equation, we put

$$\mu_0(\hbar) = \frac{1}{2\hbar} I(e^{\Psi/\hbar}\nu). \tag{5.12}$$

Then it can be checked that $\mu_0(\hbar)$ is the solution to the equation (5.9).

The second equation to be solved is

$$\hbar \mathcal{T}\mu_1 = -\hbar^2 \Delta\mu_0. \tag{5.13}$$

Again, we put

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2\hbar} I(-h^2\Delta\mu_0) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} I(\Delta\mu_0).$$

In general, we have the transport equation for $l \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{T}\mu_{l+1} = \hbar\Delta\mu_l. \tag{5.14}$$

This gives

$$\mu_{l+1} = -\frac{\hbar}{2} I(\Delta\mu_l) \qquad \text{with,} \tag{5.15}$$

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2\hbar} I(e^{\Psi/\hbar}\nu). \tag{5.16}$$

as solutions in W.

5.5. **Estimate of the WKB iteration.** In this section, we are going to obtain norm estimates for μ_l. We consider terms of the form

$$I^j\Big(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha\Psi)\beta\Big) \tag{5.17}$$

with $j \geq 0$ and $\beta \in \Omega_0^*(W)$. Here each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is a multi-index such that

$$\partial_\alpha\Psi = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial u_1^{\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{n-1}}}{\partial u_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial t^{\alpha_n}} \Psi.$$

Letting

$$m(\alpha) := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \alpha_n = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha_n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\text{vanishing order of } \prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha\Psi \text{ along } U_S \geq \sum_\alpha m(\alpha), \tag{5.18}$$

from lemma 49.

Given any compact subset K of W, we let $\hat{K} = (\bigcup_{(u,t)\in K}\{u\}\times(-\infty,t])\cap W$ as shown in the following figure. We use the notations $\{u\}\times\mathbb{R}\cap K = K_u$ and $\{u\}\times\mathbb{R}\cap\hat{K} = \hat{K}_u$ to denote the intersection of K and $\hat{K}$ with the flow line.



Lemma 51. *For $j \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{K_u} \|I^j\Big(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha \Psi)\beta\Big)\|^2 dt \leq Ch^{1/2+\sum_\alpha m(\alpha)}\|\beta\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)}$$

for $\beta \in \Omega_0^*(W)$, where C depending on j, K and derivatives of Ψ up to order $2\sum_\alpha m(\alpha)$.

Proof. First of all, from the fact that $\beta \in \Omega_0^*(W)$ and K being compact, we can reduce the lower limit in the integral from $-\infty$ to $-c$. If we denote the norm of $\wedge^* T^*_{(u,t)}M$ by $\|\cdot\|_{(u,t)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(\phi)\|^2_{(u,t)} &\leq \Big(\int_{-c}^0 \|e^{\int_s^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})d\epsilon}\ \tau_s^*(\phi)\|_{(u,t)}\ ds\Big)^2 \\
&\leq c\int_{-c}^0 \|e^{\int_s^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})d\epsilon}\ \tau_s^*(\phi)\|^2_{(u,t)}\ ds \\
&\leq c^2\|e^{\int_s^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})d\epsilon}\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)}\int_{-c}^0 \|\tau_s^*(\phi)\|^2_{(u,t)}\ ds \\
&\leq C\|\phi\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\|I(\phi)\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)} \leq C\|\phi\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)}$.

For $j > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I^j\Big(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha \Psi)\beta\Big)\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} \\
\leq\ & C\|I^{j-1}\Big(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha \Psi)\beta\Big)\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)} \\
\leq\ & C^{j-1}\Big(\int_{\hat{K}_u} e^{2\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha \Psi)^2\Big)\Big(\|\beta\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

By lemma 49, $\Psi \leq 0$ is a Morse function with zero set U_S, so using (5.18) and the following lemma 52, we have

$$\int_{\hat{K}_u} e^{2\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha \Psi)^2 ds \leq C' h^{1/2+\sum_\alpha m(\alpha)},$$

for some constant C' depending on derivatives of Ψ up to order $2\sum_k m_k$. Therefore we indeed have the L^∞ norm estimate

$$\|I^j\Big(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha\Psi)\beta\Big)\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} \leq \tilde{C}\hbar^{1/2+\sum_\alpha m(\alpha)}\|\beta\|^2_{L^\infty(\hat{K}_u)},$$

for $j>0$. The case of $j=0$ is obtained in a similar way. This is the only case that we have to consider the integration of $\|I^j\Big(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_\alpha \partial_\alpha\Psi)\beta\Big)\|^2$ over K_u to obtain the estimate. □

The following semi-classical approximation lemma used in the above proof, will also be used extensively in the rest of the section.

Lemma 52. *Let $U\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be an open neighborhood of 0 with coordinates $x_1,\ldots,x_n$. Let $\varphi: U\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a Morse function with unique minimum $\varphi(0)=0$ in U. Let $\tilde{x}_1,\ldots,\tilde{x}_n$ be a Morse coordinates near 0 such that*

$$\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{x}_1^2+\cdots+\tilde{x}_n^2).$$

For every compact subset $K\subset U$, there exists a constant $C=C_{K,N}$ such that for every $u\in C^\infty(U)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(u)\subset K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|(\int_K e^{-\varphi(x)/\hbar}u)-(2\pi\hbar)^{n/2}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{\hbar^k}{2^k k!}\tilde{\Delta}^k(\frac{u}{\Im})(0)\Big)|\\ \leq\ & C\hbar^{n/2+N}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2N+n+1}\sup|\partial^\alpha u|,\end{aligned}\tag{5.19}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Delta}=\sum\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\tilde{x}_j^2},\qquad \Im=\pm\det(\frac{d\tilde{x}}{dx}),$$

and $\Im(0)=(\det\nabla^2\varphi(0))^{1/2}$.

In particular, if u vanishes at 0 up to order L, then we can take $N=\lceil L/2\rceil$ and get

$$|\int_K e^{-\varphi(x)/\hbar}u|\leq C\hbar^{n/2+\lceil L/2\rceil}.$$

Remark 53. *The same argument holds true for terms of the form*

$$I\bigg(F_lI\Big(F_{l-1}I(\cdots F_1I(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\prod_k\partial_{\alpha_k}\Psi)\beta)\cdots)\Big)\bigg),$$

where F_i's are operators involving the function g_E and the metric tensor.

We now investigate the norm of μ_l concerning its order in $\hbar$.

Proposition 54. *For $l \geq 0$, we have*

$$\|\mu_l(\hbar)\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} \leq C\hbar^{2l-2\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor -1}\|\nu\|^2_{C^{2l}(\hat{K}_u)},$$

for some constant C depending on derivatives of g_E and the metric tensor up to order $2l+2$.

Proof. W.L.O.G., we assume that the metric is standard under the coordinate $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t$ since the metric tensor as well as its derivatives are bounded.

We let $Q(u,t,s) = e^{\int_s^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})\, d\epsilon}$ and consider the effect of first order derivatives acting on $I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))ds$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\nabla_t I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) &= M_{g_E} I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) + e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu \\
\nabla_{u_i} I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 (\nabla_{u_i} Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu)))ds + \hbar^{-1} I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\Phi)\nu) + I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nabla_{u_i}\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

For second order derivatives acting on $I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_t\nabla_t I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_t(M_{g_E})I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) + \frac{1}{2}(M_{g_E})^2 I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) + \hbar^{-1}e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_t\Phi)\nu + e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nabla_t\nu) \\
\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_t I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) &= \frac{1}{2}\{(\nabla_{u_i}M_{g_E})I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) + \hbar^{-1}M_{g_E}I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\Phi)\nu) + M_{g_E}I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\nu)) \\
&+ M_{g_E}(\int_{-\infty}^0 \nabla_{u_i}Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))ds) + \hbar^{-1}e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\Phi)\nu + e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nabla_{u_i}\nu\} \\
\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_{u_j} I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 (\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_{u_j}Q)(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))ds + \hbar^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^0 \nabla_{u_j}Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\Phi)\nu))ds \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^0 \nabla_{u_j}Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nabla_{u_i}\nu))ds + \hbar^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^0 \nabla_{u_i}Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_j}\Phi)\nu))ds \\
&+ \int_{-\infty}^0 \nabla_{u_i}Q(\tau_s^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_j}\Phi)\nu))ds + \hbar^{-1}I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_{u_j}\Phi)\nu) \\
&+ \hbar^{-1}I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\Phi)(\nabla_{u_j}\nu)) + \hbar^{-1}I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_j}\Phi)(\nabla_{u_i}\nu)) \\
&+ \hbar^{-2}I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}(\nabla_{u_i}\Phi)(\nabla_{u_j}\Phi)\nu) + I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_{u_j}\nu)
\end{aligned}$$

Using similar argument as the previous lemma, we can obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(\nabla_t\nabla_t I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} &= \mathcal{O}(\hbar)\|\nu\|^2_{C^1(\hat{K}_u)}, \\
\|I(\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_t I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} &= \mathcal{O}(\hbar)\|\nu\|^2_{C^1(\hat{K}_u)}, \\
\|I(\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_{u_j} I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} &= \mathcal{O}(\hbar)\|\nu\|^2_{C^2(\hat{K}_u)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\|\mu_1\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} = \|\frac{1}{4}I(\Delta I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu))\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar)\|\nu\|^2_{C^2(\hat{K}_u)}$.

In general, despite μ_l having a complicated expression with terms of the form as in remark 53, what we need to know is the vanishing order of the integrant along U as the integrant carries an exponential term $e^{\Phi/\hbar}$. Notice

that applying differentiation to the term $e^{\Phi/\hbar}$ will give a term of the form $\hbar^{-1}\nabla\Phi$. $\nabla_t\Phi$ and $\nabla_{u_i}\Phi$ vanish up to first order and second order, both contribute $\hbar^2$ to the square norm.

In the iteration process, if we write $\Delta = \nabla_t\nabla_t + \sum_i \nabla_{u_i}\nabla_t + \sum_{i,j}\nabla_{u_i}\nabla_{u_j}$, we find that the terms involving $\nabla_t\nabla_t$ will give the lowest order in $\hbar$. Therefore, we look at terms obtained from applying $I\nabla_t\nabla_t$ iteratively. For $\mu_2(\hbar)$, that is the term $\frac{\hbar}{8}(I\nabla_t\nabla_t)(I\nabla_t\nabla_t)I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu)$. Since ∇_t essentially cancels with I, therefore we find that the lowest order term is $\frac{\hbar}{8}I(\hbar^{-1}(\nabla_t\nabla_t\Phi)\nu e^{\Phi/\hbar}) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^0)$.

For μ_k, we consider the term $(I\nabla_t\nabla_t)^k I(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\nu)$. When k is even, the lowest order term is $\frac{\hbar^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{2^{k+1}}(\nabla_t\nabla_t\Phi)^{k/2}\nu e^{\Phi/\hbar}$, which has order $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\frac{k}{2}-1})$. When k is odd, the lowest order term becomes $\frac{\hbar^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{2^{k+1}}(\nabla_t\Phi)(\nabla_t\nabla_t\Phi)^{(k-1)/2}\nu e^{\Phi/\hbar}$, which has order $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^{\frac{k+1}{2}})$. Combining these two cases, we obtain the statement in the proposition. □

Remark 55. *Using the same argument, we can have similar estimate*

$$\int_{K_u}\|\nabla^j\mu_l(\hbar)\|^2 \leq C_j\hbar^{2l-2\lfloor\frac{l+j}{2}\rfloor-1}\|\nu\|^2_{C^{2l+j}(\hat{K}_u)},$$

for all j. The integration along t would be necessary for $j>0$ as ∇_t may possibly cancel with the operator I.

Making use of 1 *dimensional Sobolev embedding along the t direction, we can obtain the estimate*

$$\|\nabla^j\mu_l(\hbar)\|^2_{L^\infty(K_u)} \leq C_j\hbar^{2l-2\lfloor\frac{l+j+1}{2}\rfloor-1}\|\nu\|^2_{C^{2l+j+1}(\hat{K}_u)}.$$

5.6. L^2 **approximation.** We will prove that the WKB expansion obtained do approximate the solution well. We consider the equation

$$\Delta_f\zeta_E = (I-P_f)d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S) \tag{5.20}$$

in W, where $\zeta_S \in \Omega^*(W_S)$ is the input form depending on $\hbar$ and $\chi_S \in C_c^\infty(W_S)$ is some cut off function to be chosen. We assume ζ_S has a WKB approximation on W_S of the form

$$\zeta_S \sim e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}(\omega_{S,0}+\omega_{S,1}\hbar^{1/2}+\omega_{S,2}\hbar^1+\dots), \tag{5.21}$$

where $\omega_{S,i}\in\Omega^*(W_S)$ and $\psi_S = f+g_S$. It is an approximation in the sense that

$$\|e^{\psi_S/\hbar}\zeta_S - (\sum_{i=0}^N\omega_{S,i}\hbar^{i/2})\|^2_{L^\infty(W_S)} \leq C_N\hbar^{N+1}, \tag{5.22}$$

for N large enough, where C_N is a constant depending on N. We also require similar norm estimate for its derivatives

$$\|e^{\psi_S/\hbar}\nabla^j(\zeta_S - e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}(\sum_{i=0}^{N}\omega_{S,i}\hbar^{i/2}))\|^2_{L^\infty(W_S)} \leq C_{j,N}\hbar^{N+1-2j}, \tag{5.23}$$

with $C_{j,N}$ depending on j, N.

We want to get a similar expansion for ζ_E, using the iteration defined in the section 5.4. We fix a cut off function χ supported in W with $\chi \equiv 1$ on the flow line γ from v_S to v_E. We consider any small enough compact neighborhood $K \subset W$ of the flow line γ with $\chi \equiv 1$ on K. χ_S to be chosen should have the property that $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S) \subset K$. The following figure illustrate the situation.



For such K small enough, we can have an a priori estimate of ζ_E in K, the technique essentially come from method used for eigenform in [5].

Lemma 56. *For those* $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)$ *and* K *are small enough and any* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, *there exists* $\hbar_{j,0} > 0$ *such that if* $\hbar < \hbar_{j,0}$, *we have*

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\nabla^j\zeta_E\|^2_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C_j\hbar^{-N_j}, \tag{5.24}$$

where N_j *is an positive integer depending on* j.

Before proving the above lemma, we need to know some special properties about χ and our chosen compact set K. Letting $\tilde{\psi}_E := \inf_{y\in\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)}\{\psi_S + \rho_f(y,x)\}$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 57. *There exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that for all* K *small enough, we have*

$$\tilde{\psi}_E(y) + \rho(x,y) \geq \psi_E(x) + \epsilon, \tag{5.25}$$

for all $x \in K$ *and* $y \in \mathrm{supp}(\nabla\chi)$.

Proof. Using the fact that $\psi_E = f$ on V_E, we can have $\|\psi_E - f\| \leq \epsilon$ on K. We can simply prove

$$\tilde{\psi}_E(y) + \rho(x,y) \geq f(x) + \epsilon,$$

by choosing a small enough K and ϵ. From the properties of Agmon distance ρ, we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_E(y) \geq \min_{z\in \mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)} (f(z)+f(y)-f(z)) = f(y),$$

with equality holds only if $z \in V_S$ and there is a generalized gradient line joining z to y. Therefore, we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_E(y) + \rho(x,y) \geq f(y)+f(x)-f(y) = f(x),$$

with equality holds only if there is a generalized gradient line joining a point $z \in V_S$ to $y \in \mathrm{supp}(\chi)$ and then to $x \in K$. This is impossible by for the chosen χ and K. Hence we always have strict inequality and therefore we can find ϵ small by compactness argument. □

We consider a closed neighborhood $\tilde{W}$ of $\mathrm{supp}(\chi)$ in W such that it has smooth boundary. We let $\tilde{G}$ to be the twisted Green's operator on $\tilde{W}$ using Dirchlet boundary condition. We first argue that ζ_E can be replaced by $\tilde{\zeta}_E = \tilde{G}\chi_S\zeta_S$.

Lemma 58. *There is a δ such that*

$$\|e^{\frac{\psi_E}{\hbar}}\nabla^j(\chi\zeta_E - \tilde{\zeta}_E)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C_j e^{-\frac{\delta}{\hbar}},$$

for any $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)$ *and K small enough and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. We let $r_\hbar = \chi\zeta_E - \tilde{\zeta}_E$. First, $r_\hbar$ satisfy the equation

$$\tilde{\Delta}_f r_\hbar = [\Delta,\chi]\zeta_E - \chi P_f d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S). \tag{5.26}$$

Therefore we have $r_\hbar = (\tilde{G}[\Delta,\chi]G - \tilde{G}\chi P_f)d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S)$. We consider it term by term to get estimate of $r_\hbar$. We have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\tilde{G}[\Delta,\chi]G \sim \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(e^{-\min_{z\in\mathrm{supp}(\nabla\chi)}(\rho(x,z)+\rho(z,y)-\epsilon)/\hbar}).$$

Using lemma 57, we can show there is some δ_0 such that

$$\tilde{G}[\Delta,\chi]Gd_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S) \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\psi_E+\delta_0)/\hbar})$$

in K, for $\hbar$ small enough.

For the term $\tilde{G}\chi P_f$, we have

$$\tilde{G}\chi P_f \sim \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(\sum_{q\in C_f^l} e^{-(\rho(x,q)+\rho(q,y)-\epsilon)/\hbar}),$$

where $l = deg(\zeta_S)$. Again, we can find a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\min_{x\in\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)} (\psi_S(x)+\rho(x,q)+\rho(q,y)) \geq \psi_E(y) + 2\delta_1,$$

for $y \in K$. Similarly we have

$$\tilde{G}\chi P_f d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S) \sim \mathcal{O}(e^{-(\psi_E+\delta_1)/\hbar})$$

in K, for $\hbar$ small enough. Notice that the constant δ chosen to be the same if we shrink $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)$ and K and keep $\tilde{W}$ and χ fixed. □

We can have a lemma for $\tilde{\zeta}_E$ similar to lemma 56.

Lemma 59. *For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $\hbar_{j,0} > 0$ such that if $\hbar < \hbar_{j,0}$, we have*

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\nabla^j \tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2_{L^\infty(\tilde{W})} \leq C_j \hbar^{-N_j}, \tag{5.27}$$

where N_j is an positive integer depending on j.

Proof. We now consider the equation

$$\Delta_f \tilde{\zeta}_E = d_f^*(\chi_S \zeta_S) \tag{5.28}$$

in $\tilde{W}$ and divide the proof into steps:

Step 1: Without loss of generality, we assume there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $C_0^{-1} \leq \psi_E \leq C_0$ and $C_0^{-1} \leq \|df\|^2 = \|d\psi_E\|^2 \leq C_0$ on $\tilde{W}$. We define the function

$$\Phi = \psi_E - C\hbar \log(\psi_E/\hbar),$$

with $C > 0$ to be chosen. Therefore we have

$$\|df\|^2 - \|d\Phi\|^2 \geq \frac{C\hbar\|df\|^2}{\psi_E} \geq \frac{C\hbar}{C_0^2}.$$

We apply lemma 4.3 to $\tilde{\zeta}_E$ with the chosen Φ on $\tilde{W}$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} Re(\langle e^{2\Phi/\hbar} d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S), \tilde{\zeta}_E\rangle) &= \hbar^2(\|d(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E)\|^2 + \|d^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E)\|^2) \\ &\quad + \langle(\|df\|^2 - \|d\Phi\|^2 + \hbar M_f)e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E, e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and if we choose $C > 0$ large enough to absorb the term $\langle \hbar M_f e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E, e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\hbar^2(\|d(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E)\|^2 + \|d^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E)\|^2) + \frac{C\hbar}{2C_0^2}\|e^{\Phi/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2 \\ \leq\ & C_1\|e^{\Phi/\hbar} d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S)\|^2 \leq C_1(\frac{\hbar}{\psi_E})^{2C}\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar} d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S)\|^2 \\ \leq\ & C_2(\frac{\hbar}{\psi_E})^{2C}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\hbar^2(\|d(e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E)\|^2 + \|d^*(e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E)\|^2) + \hbar\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2 \leq C_3,$$

and obtained $\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2_{L^2(K)} \leq C_4\hbar^{-1}$, for $\hbar < \hbar_0$.

Step 2: We prove the L^2 norm result for derivatives of $\tilde{\zeta}_E$. We apply d_f and d_f^* to both sides of equation (5.28). We obtain

$$\Delta_f(d_f\tilde{\zeta}_E) = d_f d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S). \tag{5.29}$$

Applying the result in step 2 to $d_f\tilde{\zeta}_E$, we have

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar} d_f\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2_{L^2(K)} \leq C_4\hbar^{-1}.$$

Since $d_f = \hbar d + df\wedge$, we have

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar} d\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2_{L^2(K)} \leq C_5 \hbar^{-3}.$$

We can have a similar argument for $d^*\tilde{\zeta}_E$ and combine to obtain result for $\nabla\tilde{\zeta}_E$. All the higher derivatives' estimate can be obtained by this argument successively.

Step 3: Finally, we improve the estimate to L^∞ norm. Since we have L^2 norm estimate for all the derivatives of $\tilde{\zeta}_E$. We use the Sobolev embedding on $\tilde{W}$ to obtain the L^∞ norm estimate. Details are left to readers.

□

Lemma 56 follows from lemma 58 and lemma 59 directly.

Next, we consider the WKB approximation of ξ_E. From the WKB approximation (5.1) of ζ_S, we can take d_f^* on both side and obtain a WKB approximation of $d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S)$

$$d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S) \sim e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}(\hbar d^* + \iota_{2\nabla f} + \iota_{\nabla g_S})(\chi_S\omega_{S,0} + \chi_S\omega_{S,1}\hbar^{1/2} + \dots), \tag{5.30}$$

after grouping terms according to their order of $\hbar$. We apply the iteration in the previous subsection 5.4 terms by terms to the above series and then group the terms according to order of $\hbar$ of their L^2 norms. As a result, we obtain a WKB expansion

$$\zeta_E \sim e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}(\omega_{E,0}(\hbar) + \omega_{E,1}(\hbar) + \dots) \tag{5.31}$$

in W, where $\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)$'s are functions also depending on $\hbar$. It should satisfy that for every l and any compact subset $\tilde{K} \subset W$,

$$\|\omega_{E,l}(\hbar)\|^2_{L^\infty(\tilde{K})} \leq C_{l,\tilde{K}} \hbar^{2l-2\lfloor\frac{l}{2}\rfloor-1}$$

for those $\hbar < \hbar_{l,0}$, and also

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}(\Delta_f(e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^N \omega_{E,i}(\hbar)) - d_f^*(e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^N \omega_{S,i}))\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} \leq C_{N,\tilde{K}}\hbar^{N+\frac{3}{2}},$$

for $\hbar < \hbar_{N,0}$. We need to argue that it is a good approximation, which is the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 60. *For any* $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)$ *and* K *small enough, and* N *large enough, there exists* $\hbar_{j,N,0} > 0$ *such that we have*

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\nabla^j\{\zeta_E - e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}(\sum_{i=0}^N \omega_{E,i}(\hbar))\}\|^2_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C_{j,N}\hbar^{N-2j} \tag{5.32}$$

for $\hbar < \hbar_{j,N,0}$.

Proof. Making use of lemma 58, we can again consider the equation 5.28. It suffices to show that the approximation work for $\tilde{\zeta}_E$ on some compact neighborhood $\tilde{K}$ of the flow line γ. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1: As $\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)$'s do not vanish on boundary of $\tilde{W}$, we first need to cut them off suitably for applying integration by part. $\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)$, being defined by integrating along flow of τ, have support as shown in the following figure.



FIGURE 4. Support of $\omega_{E,i}$'s

Suppose we have $\tau_{\tilde{T}}(v_S) = v_E$, then we can choose $\tilde{\chi}$ only depending on variable t (using coordinate defined by τ) such that $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$ for $t \leq \tilde{T}$. The support of $\nabla\tilde{\chi}$ are shown in the following figure.



FIGURE 5. Support of $\nabla\tilde{\chi}$

By shrinking $\tilde{K}$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\chi_S)$ if necessary, we can obtain some $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\psi_E(y) + \rho(y,x) \geq \psi_E(x) + \epsilon \tag{5.33}$$

for $x \in \tilde{K}$ and $y \in \mathrm{supp}(\tilde{\chi})$. We define the function

$$\Phi_N = \min\{\Phi + N\hbar\log(1/\hbar), \min_{y\in\mathrm{supp}(\nabla\tilde{\chi})}(\Phi(y) + (1-\epsilon)\rho(x,y))\}, \tag{5.34}$$

where Φ is the same as above lemma 56, and the ϵ is chosen in the above lemma 57. We have

$$\|df\|^2 - \|d\Phi_N\|^2 \geq \frac{C\hbar\|df\|^2}{\psi_E} \geq \frac{C\hbar}{C_0^2},$$

for $\hbar$ small enough. Notice that we have $\Phi_N = \Phi + N\hbar\log(1/\hbar)$ in $\tilde{K}$ for $\hbar$ small enough, and $\Phi_N = \Phi$ in $\mathrm{supp}(\nabla\tilde{\chi})$.

Step 2: Applying the lemma 4.3 to $r_k = \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\zeta}_E - e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)))$ with Φ_N, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hbar^{2-2N}(\|d(e^{\Phi/\hbar}r_k)\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} + \|d^*(e^{\Phi/\hbar}r_k)\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})}) + \frac{C\hbar^{1-2N}}{2C_0^2}\|e^{\Phi/\hbar}r_k\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} \\
\leq\ & D\|e^{\Phi_N/\hbar}d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S - e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\chi_S\omega_{S,i})\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{W})} \\
+\ & D\|e^{\Phi_N/\hbar}(d_f^*(e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\chi_S\omega_{S,i}) - \Delta_f(e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)))\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{W})} \\
+\ & D(\|e^{\Phi/\hbar}[\Delta,\tilde{\chi}]\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{W})} + \|e^{\Phi/\hbar}[\Delta,\tilde{\chi}](e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\omega_{E,i}(\hbar))\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{W})}).
\end{aligned}$$

We handle the right hand side term by term. First, we have

$$\|e^{\Phi_N/\hbar}d_f^*(\chi_S\zeta_S - e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\chi_S\omega_{S,i})\|^2 \leq C_k\hbar^{2C-2N+k}.$$

Second, we have

$$\|e^{\Phi_N/\hbar}(d_f^*(e^{-\psi_S/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\chi_S\omega_{S,i}) - \Delta_f(e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)))\|^2 \leq C_k\hbar^{2C-2N+k}.$$

Third, we have

$$\|e^{\Phi/\hbar}[\Delta,\tilde{\chi}]\tilde{\zeta}_E\|^2 \leq D_1\hbar^{2C-N_0},$$

where N_0 is the integer in lemma 56. Finally, we have

$$\|e^{\Phi/\hbar}[\Delta,\tilde{\chi}](e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\omega_{E,i}(\hbar))\|^2 \leq C_k\hbar^{2C-N_0},$$

by choosing a larger N_0 independent of k, if necessary. Combining the above, by choosing $N = N_0 + k$, we have

$$\hbar^2(\|d(e^{\psi_E/\hbar}r_k)\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} + \|d^*(e^{\psi_E/\hbar}r_k)\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})}) + \hbar\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}r_k\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} \leq C_k\hbar^k,$$

which gives $\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}r_k\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} \leq C_k\hbar^{k-1}$, for those $\hbar < \hbar_{k,0}$.

Step 3: We obtain L^2 estimate for all derivatives of r_k. We repeat the above argument for $d_f r_k$ and $d_f^* r_k$. For any $j, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we can find a $k_{j,N}$ large enough such that for any $k > k_{j,N}$, we have

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\nabla^j r_k\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{K})} \leq C_{j,K,N}\hbar^N,$$

for $\hbar > \hbar_{j,k,N,0}$.

Step 4: We apply interior Sobolev embedding to improve the statement in step 3 into L^∞ norm, by further shrinking $\tilde{K}$ if necessary. As a result, we have for N large enough, there exists $\hbar_{j,N,0} > 0$ and M_N such that we have

$$\|e^{\psi_E/\hbar}\nabla^j\{\tilde{\zeta}_E - e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}(\sum_{i=0}^{M_N}\omega_{E,i}(\hbar))\}\|^2_{L^\infty(\tilde{K})} \leq C_{j,N}\hbar^{N-2j} \tag{5.35}$$

for $\hbar < \hbar_{j,N,0}$. Finally, we observe that $\|\nabla^j\omega_{E,i}(\hbar)\|^2_{L^\infty(\tilde{K})} \leq C_{i,j}\hbar^{i-j-\frac{3}{2}}$ and hence obtain the result by dropping redundant terms in the approximation series.

□

Finally, we restrict our attention to a small enough neighborhood W_E of v_E. Since the operator I is given by an integral with an exponential decay $e^{\Psi/\hbar}$ along flow line, we can apply lemma 52 to obtain an expansion

$$\omega_{E,i}(\hbar) = \hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_{E,i,0} + \omega_{E,i,1}\hbar^1 + \omega_{E,i,2}\hbar^2 + \dots).$$

By regrouping terms according to their order of $\hbar$, we obtain an expansion of the form in equation (5.2).

5.7. **Relation between $\omega_{S,0}$ and $\omega_{E,0}$.** From section 5.4, we constructed a WKB approximation in W_E

$$\zeta_E = e^{-\psi_E/\hbar}(\omega_{E,0}(\hbar) + \omega_{E,1}(\hbar) + \cdots).$$

In particular, $\omega_{E,0}(\hbar)$ is given by

$$\omega_{E,0}(\hbar) = \frac{1}{2\hbar}(\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\int_s^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})d\epsilon}\, \tau_s^*(e^{\Psi/\hbar}(\iota_{2\nabla f} + \iota_{\nabla g_S})\chi_S\omega_{S,0})ds). \tag{5.36}$$

In this section, we study relation between integral of $\omega_{S,0}$ and $\omega_{E,0}$ which is used in lemma 32. We begin by recalling lemma 30. Let M be a n-dimensional manifold and S be a k-dimensional submanifold in M, with a neighborhood B of S which can be identified as the normal bundle $\pi : NS \to S$. Suppose $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ being a Bott-Morse function with zero set S, we have

Lemma 61. *Let $\beta \in \Omega^*(B)$ which vertical compact support along the fiber of π. Then, we have*

$$\pi_*(e^{-\varphi(x)/\hbar}\beta) = (2\pi\hbar)^{(n-k)/2}(\iota_{\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2\varphi)}\beta)|_V(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)),$$

where π_* is the integration along fiber. Here $\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2\varphi)$ stands for the volume polyvector field defined for the positive symmetric tensor $\nabla^2\varphi$ along fibers of π.

We use the notations in section 5.1 and assume there is an identification of W_S and W_E with the normal bundle NV_S and NV_E of V_S and V_E respectively. We use π_S and π_E to stand for the bundle map respectively. We have the following lemma which relates the integration of $\omega_{E,0}$ and $\omega_{S,0}$ along the fibers of π_E and π_S respectively.

Lemma 62. *Assume $\omega_{S,0} \in \wedge^{top}NV_S^*$ on V_S, then*

$$\pi_{E*}(e^{-g_E/\hbar}\omega_{E,0}) = \frac{1}{\hbar}\varrho^*\pi_{S*}(e^{-g_S/\hbar}\omega_{S,0})(1+\mathcal{O}(\hbar)),$$

where $\varrho : V_E \to V_S$ is the projection map using the identification $V_E \equiv (V_S \times \mathbb{R}) \cap W_E$ given by τ (flow of $\nabla\psi_E$). Furthermore, we have $\omega_{E,0} \in \wedge^{top}NV_E^*$ on V_E.

Proof. We use the coordinates $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t$ for W, where $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ are coordinates of U_S. We further assume that $\{u_{s+1} = 0, \ldots, u_{n-1} = 0\} = V_S$. From lemma 49, $\Psi \leq 0$ is a Bott-Morse function with zero set U_S. Applying lemma 61 to the the equation (5.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{E,0}(u,t) \\ = \; & (\frac{\pi}{2\hbar})^{1/2}(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(-\Psi)|_{t=0})^{-1/2}\Big(e^{\int_{-t}^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})\,d\epsilon}\,\tau_{-t}^*((\iota_{2\nabla f}+\iota_{\nabla g_S})\chi_S\omega_{S,0})\Big), \end{aligned}$$

up to higher order of $\hbar$. From lemma 48, $g_E \geq 0$ is a Bott-Morse function with zero set V_E. Applying lemma 61 again, we get, up to higher order of $\hbar$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{E*}(e^{-g_E/\hbar}\omega_{E,0})(u,t) \\ = \; & (2\pi\hbar)^{(n-s-1)/2}\iota_{\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E)}(\omega_{E,0}) \\ = \; & \pi\Big((2\pi\hbar)^{(n-s-2)/2}\iota_{\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E)}(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(-\Psi)|_{t=0})^{-1/2}(e^{\int_{-t}^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})\,d\epsilon}\,\tau_{-t}^*(\iota_{2\nabla f}\omega_{S,0}))\Big), \end{aligned}$$

for those $(u,t) \in V_E$. The term involving $\iota_{\nabla g_S}$ is dropped as $\tau_{-t}^*(dg_S)$ vanishes for $(u,t) \in V_E$. To make further simplification, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 63. *Fixing a point $(u,t) \in V_E$, we have*

$$e^{\int_{-t}^0 \frac{1}{2}\tau_\epsilon^*(M_{g_E})d\epsilon} = \Big(\frac{\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,t)}{\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,0)}\Big)^{1/2}$$

as operators on $\bigwedge^{top} NV_E^*$, where the right hand side act as multiplication. Here $\nabla^2 g_E$ is treated as an operator acting on NV_E using the metric tensor.

From the fact that $\omega_{S,0} \in \bigwedge^{top} NV_S^*$ when restricted to V_S, we have $\tau_{-t}^*(\iota_{\nabla f}\omega_{S,0}) \in \bigwedge^{top} NV_E^*$ for those $(u,t) \in V_E$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{E*}(e^{-g_E/\hbar}\omega_{E,0})(u,t) \\ = \; & 2\pi(2\pi\hbar)^{(n-s-2)/2}(\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(-\Psi)|_{t=0})^{-1/2}\Big((\tfrac{\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,t)}{\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,0)})^{1/2}\iota_{\nabla f \wedge \mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E)}\tau_{-t}^*(\omega_{S,0})\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\nabla f = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ when restricting on V_E, therefore we have

$$(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(-\Psi)|_{t=0})^{1/2}\nabla f = \mathrm{vol}(\nabla_t^2(-\Psi)|_{t=0}),$$

where we view W as $\mathbb{R}$-bundle over U_S and consider $\mathrm{vol}(\nabla_t^2(-\Psi)|_{t=0})$ as the volume vector field along its fibers. Furthermore, we have the relation

$$d\tau_{-t}^*((\frac{\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,t)}{\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,0)})^{1/2}\,\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,t)) = \mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,0).$$

Combining the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{E*}(e^{-g_E/\hbar}\omega_{E,0})(u,t) \\ = \; & (2\pi)^{(n-s)/2}\hbar^{(n-s-2)/2}\Big(\tau_{-t}^*(\iota_{\mathrm{vol}(\nabla_t^2(-\Psi)|_{t=0})\wedge\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E)|_{t=0}}\omega_{S,0})\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from the relation $\Psi = g_E - g_S$, we get

$$\mathrm{vol}(\nabla_t^2(-\Psi)) \wedge \mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_E) = \mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_S)$$

on V_S, where $\mathrm{vol}(\nabla^2 g_S)$ is the volume polyvector field along the fibers of π_S. Therefore, we have

$$\pi_{E*}(e^{-g_E/\hbar}\omega_{E,0})(u,t) = \frac{1}{\hbar}\tau_{-t}^*(\pi_{S*}(e^{-g_S/\hbar}\omega_{S,0})(u,0))$$

up to higher order in $\hbar$, for those $(u,t) \in V_E$. □

Finally, we prove the lemma 63:

Proof. First of all, we have the expression

$$\frac{1}{2}M_{g_E} = \nabla^2 g_E - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(\nabla^2 g_E),$$

at the set $\{\nabla g_E = 0\}$. We can treat $\nabla^2 g_E$ as an operator acting on NV_E^* as g_E is Morse along V_S. Restricting to $\bigwedge^{top} NV_E^*$, it is just $\mathrm{tr}(\nabla^2 g_E)$. Therefore we have

$$\frac{1}{2}M_{g_E} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(\nabla^2 g_E),$$

acting on $\bigwedge^{top} NV_E^*$.
We apply ∇_t to the difference of two functions of t, and get

$$\nabla_t\Big(\int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,\epsilon)\, d\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\det(\nabla_u^2 g_E)(u,t))\Big) \tag{5.37}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,t) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}((\nabla^2 g_E(u,t))^{-1}\nabla_t(\nabla^2 g_E(u,t))) \tag{5.38}$$

on V_E. We will show that the above expression vanish.

Restricting to the set $\{\nabla g_E = 0\}$, for any vector fields $X, Y \in TW$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_t(\nabla_u^2 g_E)(X,Y) &= \nabla_t(\nabla^2 g_E(X,Y)) - \nabla^2 g_E(\nabla_t X, Y) - \nabla^2 g_E(X, \nabla_t Y)\\
&= \nabla_t\langle X, \nabla_Y \nabla g_E\rangle - \langle \nabla_t X, \nabla_Y \nabla g_E\rangle - \langle \nabla_X \nabla g_E, \nabla_t Y\rangle\\
&= \langle X, \nabla_t\nabla_Y \nabla g_E\rangle + \langle \nabla_X \nabla g_E, [\partial_t, Y]\rangle + \langle \nabla_X \nabla g_E, \nabla_Y \partial_t\rangle\\
&= \langle X, \nabla_Y \nabla_t \nabla g_E\rangle + \langle (\nabla^2 t \nabla^2 g_E)X, Y\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2(\nabla_t g_E)(X,Y) &= \langle \nabla_Y \nabla(\partial_t g_E), X\rangle\\
&= Y\langle \nabla(\partial_t g_E), X\rangle - \langle \nabla(\partial_t g_E), \nabla_Y X\rangle\\
&= Y\langle \nabla_X \nabla g_E, \partial_t\rangle + Y\langle \nabla g_E, \nabla_X \partial_t\rangle - \langle \nabla_{\nabla_Y X}\nabla g_E, \partial_t\rangle\\
&= Y\langle X, \nabla_t \nabla g_E\rangle + Y\langle \nabla g_E, \nabla_X \partial_t\rangle - \langle \nabla_Y X, \nabla_t \nabla g_E\rangle\\
&= \langle X, \nabla_Y \nabla_t \nabla g_E\rangle + (\nabla^2 g_E \nabla^2 t)X, Y\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\nabla_t(\nabla^2 g_E) - \nabla^2(\nabla_t g_E) = [\nabla^2 t, \nabla^2 g_E],$$

where the Hessians are treated as endomorphism of TM. Restricting the above equation to the subspace NV_E and multipling by $(\nabla^2 g_E)^{-1}$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}((\nabla^2 g_E)^{-1}(\nabla_t(\nabla^2 g_E)) = \operatorname{tr}((\nabla^2 g_E)^{-1}\nabla^2(\nabla_t g_E)).$$

Finally, from the equation $\|\nabla\psi_E\|^2 = \|\nabla f\|^2$, we obtain

$$\nabla_t g_E = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla g_E\|^2.$$

Taking ∇^2 to both sides and restricting to V_E give

$$\nabla^2(\nabla_t g_E)(X,Y) = \langle \nabla^2 g_E(X), \nabla^2 g_E(Y)\rangle,$$

or simply

$$\nabla^2(\nabla_t g_E) = (\nabla^2 g_E)^2$$

if we treat both sides as operators on TM.

Substituting it back into equation (5.38), we find that the derivative in equation (5.38) vanish. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,\epsilon)\, d\epsilon) \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{2}\log(\det(\nabla^2 g_E)(u,t)) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\det(\nabla^2(g_E))(u,0)), \end{aligned}$$

which is the equation we needed. □

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Kwokwai Chan, Cheol-Hyun Cho, Yau Heng Tom Wan for useful discussions. The work described in this paper was substantially supported by a grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. CUHK 2130314).

References

1. M. Abouzaid, *Morse homology, tropical geometry, and homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties*, Geom. Topol. **10** (2006), 1097–1157.
2. K. Fukaya, *Morse homotopy, A_∞-category, and Floer homologies*, Math. Sciences Research Inst., 1993.
3. Kenji Fukaya, *Asymptotic analysis, multivalued morse theory, and mirror symmetry*, Graphs and patterns in mathematics and theoretical physics. Proc. Symp. Pure Math, vol. 73, 2002, pp. 205–278.
4. B. Helffer and F. Nier, *Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 1862, Springer-Verlag New York Incorporated, 2005.
5. B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Puits multiples en limite semi-classique*, Comm. in PDE **9** (1984), no. 4, 337–408.
6. ———, *Puits multiples en limite semi-classique II - Interaction moléculaire-Symétries-Perturbations*, Annales de l'IHP(section Physique théorique) **42** (1985), no. 2, 127–212.
7. ———, *Puits multiples en limite semi-classique IV - Etdue du complexe de Witten*, Comm. in PDE **10** (1985), no. 3, 245–340.
8. M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations*, (2001), 203–263.
9. E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse theory*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 4, 661–692 (1983).
10. W. Zhang, *Lectures on Chern-Weil theory and Witten deformations*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 4, World Scientific, 2001.

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
E-mail address: leung@math.cuhk.edu.hk

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
E-mail address: klchan@math.cuhk.edu.hk

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
E-mail address: ncma@math.cuhk.edu.hk